

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| FINANCIAL SECTION | |
|--|----------|
| Independent Auditors' Report | 2 |
| Management's Discussions and Analysis (Required Supplementary Information) Basic Financial Statements - Primary Government | 4 |
| Statements of Net Assets | 11 |
| Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets | 12 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 13 |
| Fiduciary Funds | |
| Statements of Net Assets | 15 |
| Statements of Changes in Net Assets | 16 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 17 |
| REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | |
| Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress | 45 |
| SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | |
| District Organization | 47 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | 48 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards | 50 |
| Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment | 51 |
| Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) With Fund Financial | |
| Statements | 52 |
| Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets | 53 |
| Note to Supplementary Information | 54 |
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS | |
| Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters | |
| Based on an Audit of Basic Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government | |
| Auditing Standards | 57 |
| Report on Compliance With Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on | |
| Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 | 59 |
| Report on State Compliance | 59 61 |
| Report on State Comphance | 01 |
| SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS | |
| Summary of Auditors' Results | 64 |
| Financial Statement Findings and Recommendations | 65 |
| Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs | 67 |
| State Awards Findings and Questioned Costs | 71 |
| Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings | 73 |

FINANCIAL SECTION



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Trustees Pasadena Area Community College District Pasadena, California

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Pasadena Area Community College District (the District) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, as listed in the Table of Contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall basic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Pasadena Area Community College District as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows, for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 16 to the financial statements, the State of California continues to suffer the effects of a recessionary economy, which directly impacts the funding allocations of Pasadena Area Community College District.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2011, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and is important for assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 4 through 10 and the Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress on page 45 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The supplementary information listed in the Table of Contents, including the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, which is required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Vaurinele, Trine, Doy & Co. LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 6, 2011



Office of the Vice President for Administrative Services

This section of our annual financial report offers a narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of Pasadena Area Community College District (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2011. This analysis is presented with comparative information from our June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, fiscal year ends to highlight changes from one year to the next. This section of our report should be read in conjunction with the basic financial statements, including footnotes. Responsibility for the completeness and accuracy of this information rests with the District management.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, the annual report consists of three basic financial statements that provide information on the District's activities as a whole: the Statement of Net Assets, the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets, and the Statement of Cash Flows.

The Pasadena Area Community College District's financial statements are presented in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statements No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments and No. 35, Basic Financial Statements - and Management Discussion and Analysis - for Public College and Universities. These statements allow for the presentation of financial activity and results of operations which focuses on the District as a whole. The entity-wide financial statements present the overall results of operations whereby all of the District's activities are consolidated into one total versus the traditional presentation by fund type. The focus of the Statement of Net Assets is designed to be similar to bottom line results for the District. This statement combines and consolidates current financial resources (net short-term spendable resources) with capital assets and long-term obligations. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets focuses on the costs of the District's operational activities with revenues and expenses categorized as operating and nonoperating, and expenses are reported by natural classification. This approach is intended to summarize and simplify the user's analysis of the cost of various District services to students and the public. The Statement of Cash Flows provides an analysis of the sources and uses of cash within the operations of the District.

The California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office has recommended that all State community colleges follow the Business-Type Activity (BTA) model for financial statement reporting purposes.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION) JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The District ended the year with an unrestricted General Fund balance of \$18,897,982. The State Chancellor's Office recommends reserve levels of five percent of total General Fund expenditures, \$6,079,972, to be set aside for economic uncertainties. We have met this recommendation. With the State's fiscal policy of apportionment deferral, it is expected that the District will be required to cover \$22 million of expenses with its own money until the apportionment payments are made whole. While the ending balance is comprised primarily of cash and receivables, the Board of Trustees has authorized the use of interfund borrowing as necessary to ensure the orderly conducting of District business.
- The primary expenditure of the District is for the salaries and benefits of the Academic, Classified, and Administrative salaries of District employees. Approximately 63 percent of overall District operating expenditures are consumed by employee compensation.
- As a condition of the passage of the District's \$150 million General Obligation Bond, "Measure 'P," a
 Citizen's Oversight Committee was formed under Proposition 39 requirements and meets quarterly.
 The meetings are held on the last Wednesday of January, April, July, and October at Pasadena City
 College at 6:00 pm and are open to the public.
- The District provided student financial aid to qualifying students of the District in the amount of approximately \$43.8 million. This represents an increase of \$9.9 million from the 2009-2010 fiscal year. This aid is provided through grants, loans, and tuition reductions from the Federal government, State Chancellor's Office, and local funding.
- The District's credit full-time equivalent students (FTES) were 21,800 and 22,030 for the 2011 and 2010 fiscal years, respectively.
- The District's total reported FTES including non-credit FTES for the years ended 2011 and 2010, were 23,224 and 23,422, respectively.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION)

JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Condensed financial information is as follows:

Statement of Net Assets as of June 30,

(Amounts in thousands)

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current Assets | | | |
| Cash, investments, and receivables | \$ 167,870 | \$ 166,469 | \$ 119,204 |
| Inventory and other assets | 1,863 | 1,762 | 2,127 |
| Total Current Assets | 169,733 | 168,231 | 121,331 |
| Noncurrent Assets | | | |
| Capital assets, net of depreciation | 181,759 | 184,803 | 181,572 |
| Total Assets | \$ 351,492 | \$ 353,034 | \$ 302,903 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| Current Liabilities | | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 14,167 | \$ 11,748 | \$ 19,815 |
| Other current liabilities | 477 | 468 | 464 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,306 | 5,208 | 5,814 |
| Claims liability | 3,856 | 1,250 | 1,300 |
| Long-term obligations - current portion | 11,588 | 10,640 | 12,253 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 34,394 | 29,314 | 39,646 |
| Noncurrent Liabilities | | | |
| Long-term obligations - noncurrent portion | 132,065 | 135,229 | 85,591 |
| Total Liabilities | 166,459 | 164,543 | 125,237 |
| NET ASSETS | | | |
| Invested in capital assets | 113,555 | 112,851 | 119,853 |
| Restricted for expendable purposes | 38,825 | 35,018 | 18,313 |
| Unrestricted | 32,653 | 40,622 | 39,500 |
| Total Net Assets | 185,033 | 188,491 | 177,666 |
| Total Liabilities and Net Assets | \$ 351,492 | \$ 353,034 | \$ 302,903 |
| | | | |

This schedule has been prepared from the District's Statements of Net Assets (page 11), which is presented on an accrual basis of accounting whereby capital assets are capitalized, depreciated, and all liabilities of the District are recognized.

Capital assets, net of depreciation is stated at the net historical value (original cost) of land, buildings, construction in progress, and equipment less accumulated depreciation.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION)

JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Long-term obligations consist primarily of the general obligation bond issue, Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS) Supplementary Retirement Plan, Postemployment Benefits Obligation, and compensated absences. Long-term obligations decreased by approximately \$2 million as the District continued repayment of debt principal.

Statement of Operating Results for the Year Ended June 30,

(Amounts in thousands)

| | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| OPERATING REVENUES | | - | |
| Tuition and fees, (net) | \$ 18,971 | \$ 19,867 | \$ 19,052 |
| Auxiliary sales and charges | 6,191 | 6,443 | 6,839 |
| Other operating revenues | 170 | 212 | 171 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 25,332 | 26,522 | 26,062 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 121,525 | 112,036 | 117,106 |
| Supplies and maintenance | 25,717 | 24,318 | 28,293 |
| Student financial aid | 35,971 | 27,147 | 22,361 |
| Depreciation | 8,615 | 7,095 | 8,135 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 191,828 | 170,596 | 175,895 |
| NET LOSS ON OPERATIONS | (166,496) | (144,074) | (149,833) |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES AND (EXPENSES) | | | |
| State apportionments | 80,523 | 78,562 | 83,825 |
| Property taxes | 31,198 | 35,858 | 28,895 |
| Grants and contracts | 49,581 | 39,145 | 36,608 |
| State revenue | 3,639 | 3,451 | 3,137 |
| Investment income | 1,800 | 1,776 | 2,734 |
| Interest expense | (6,548) | (6,195) | (4,451) |
| Other nonoperating revenues and transfers | 1,048 | (42) | 4,253 |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues and (Expenses) | 161,241 | 152,555 | 155,001 |
| OTHER REVENUES | | | |
| State revenues, capital | - | 54 | 243 |
| Local revenues, capital | 1,797 | 2,290 | 1,039 |
| Total Other Revenues | 1,797 | 2,344 | 1,282 |
| NET CHANGE IN NET ASSETS | \$ (3,458) | \$ 10,825 | \$ 6,450 |

This schedule has been prepared from the Statements of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets (page 12).

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION) JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

The operating revenue for the District is specifically defined as revenues from users of the colleges' facilities and programs. Excluded from the operating revenues are the components of the primary source of District funding - the State apportionment process. These components include the State apportionment and local property taxes. As these resources of revenue are from the general population of the State of California, and not from the direct users of the educational services (students), they are considered to be nonoperating. As a result, the operating loss of \$166.5 million is balanced by the other funding sources. Total District revenues were less than expenditures by \$3.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2011.

Auxiliary revenue consists primarily of bookstore net revenues. The bookstore is maintained to provide books, supplies, and other items to the students and faculty of the District. The operations are self-supporting through product sales. Profits from the bookstore are used for student government and club activities.

Grant and contract revenues relate to student financial aid, as well as specific Federal and State grants received for programs serving the students of the District. These grant and program revenues are restricted as to the allowable expenses related to the programs.

The interest income is primarily the result of cash held at the Los Angeles County Treasury. The interest expense relates to interest payments on the General Obligation Bonds, lease commitments, and a note payable described in Note 10 of the financial statements.

Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended June 30,

(Amounts in thousands)

| (143,872) |
|-----------|
| 137,006 |
| (45,802) |
| 3,575 |
| (49,093) |
| |

The Statement of Cash Flows provides information about cash receipts and payments during the year. This statement also assists users in assessing the District's ability to meet its obligations as they come due and its need for external financing.

The primary operating receipts are student tuition and fees and Federal and Bookstore net sales. The primary operating expense of the District is the payment of salaries and benefits to instructional and classified support staff, as well as District administrators.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION) JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

In accordance with requirements set forth by the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, the District reports operating expenses by object code. Operating expenses by functional classification are as follows:

For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

| | Salaries | Material, and | Student | Other | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| | and Benefits | Other Expenses | Financial Aid | Outgo | Total |
| Instruction | \$ 61,669,160 | \$ 1,535,229 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 63,204,389 |
| Non-instruction | 4,115,990 | 106,200 | - | - | 4,222,190 |
| Academic support | 13,254,109 | 1,236,611 | - | - | 14,490,720 |
| Student services | 13,522,849 | 1,093,859 | - | - | 14,616,708 |
| Operation and maintenance of plant | 7,152,746 | 4,099,169 | - | - | 11,251,915 |
| Institutional support | 16,624,119 | 4,137,261 | - | - | 20,761,380 |
| Community services and economic | | | | | |
| development | 493,727 | 405,233 | - | - | 898,960 |
| Ancillary services and auxiliary | 4,692,575 | 10,781,022 | - | - | 15,473,597 |
| Student finanicial aid | - | - | 35,971,406 | - | 35,971,406 |
| Non-capitalized property items | - | 2,321,875 | - | - | 2,321,875 |
| Depreciation | | | | 8,615,122 | 8,615,122 |
| Total | \$ 121,525,275 | \$ 25,716,459 | \$35,971,406 | \$8,615,122 | \$191,828,262 |

For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

| | Salaries | Supplies, Material, and Student | | Other | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| | and Benefits | Other Expenses | Financial Aid | Outgo | Total |
| Instruction | \$ 58,389,663 | \$ 1,563,448 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 59,953,111 |
| Non-instruction | 4,285,570 | 117,679 | - | - | 4,403,249 |
| Academic support | 12,610,651 | 1,012,304 | - | - | 13,622,955 |
| Student services | 13,032,073 | 847,299 | - | - | 13,879,372 |
| Operation and maintenance of plant | 6,980,628 | 4,621,250 | - | - | 11,601,878 |
| Institutional support | 13,992,365 | 4,122,468 | - | - | 18,114,833 |
| Community services and economic | | | | | |
| development | 483,390 | 424,588 | - | - | 907,978 |
| Ancillary services and auxiliary | 2,262,362 | 10,309,028 | - | - | 12,571,390 |
| Student finanicial aid | - | - | 27,146,779 | - | 27,146,779 |
| Non-capitalized property items | - | 1,300,188 | - | - | 1,300,188 |
| Depreciation | | | | 7,094,792 | 7,094,792 |
| Total | \$ 112,036,702 | \$ 24,318,252 | \$27,146,779 | \$7,094,792 | \$170,596,525 |

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION)

JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

ECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE FUTURE OF PASADENA AREA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

The economic position of the Pasadena Area Community College District is closely tied to California's economic position as State apportionments and property taxes allocated to the District represent approximately 81 percent of the unrestricted General Fund. The 2011-2012 State budget for community colleges contains no cost of living increase. The State economy is still operating at very low levels, with a State budget that is balanced in name only. There is a significant possibility that mid-year budget reductions will be required. The District has budgeted \$2.0 million for this eventuality.

The State's cut will impact all education segments in the State. Unfortunately, this comes at a time when the college enrollment is increasing, putting additional pressure on our already scarce resources. Management is closely monitoring all factors in an effort to control the ultimate impact of budget cuts on the District's financial health and on our ability to serve our students.

The 2011-2012 fiscal year will bring several significant construction events. The District is now constructing the new Center for the Arts, which is rising in place of the K and T buildings. The elevator upgrade and restroom upgrade projects will commence within the next few months as well. In the Fall of 2009, the District sold the final series of bonds authorized by Measure P.

There are no other known facts, decisions, or conditions that will have a significant effect on the financial position (net assets) or results of operations (revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets) of the District.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, students, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need any additional financial information, contact the District at: Pasadena Area Community College District, 1570 East Colorado Blvd., Pasadena, CA, 91106-2003 or call (626) 585-7258.

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS - PRIMARY GOVERNMENT JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|----------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and Investments | Φ 1.222.044 | ф 1.0 <i>c</i> 7.000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,232,944 | \$ 1,067,088 |
| Investments - unrestricted | 6,648,183 | 10,694,331 |
| Investments - restricted | 134,376,176 | 132,656,720 |
| Subtotal Cash and Investments | 142,257,303 | 144,418,139 |
| Accounts receivable | 24,965,187 | 21,402,391 |
| Student loans receivable | 647,454 | 648,373 |
| Prepaid expenses | 873,204 | 810,230 |
| Inventories | 990,087 | 951,480 |
| Total Current Assets | 169,733,235 | 168,230,613 |
| NONCURRENT ASSETS | 40.000 | |
| Nondepreciable capital assets | 18,825,402 | 29,678,225 |
| Depreciable capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation | 162,934,032 | 155,125,357 |
| Total Noncurrent Assets | 181,759,434 | 184,803,582 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 351,492,669 | 353,034,195 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | 11,909,169 | 9,446,091 |
| Accrued interest payable | 2,258,272 | 2,302,199 |
| Other current liabilities | 477,266 | 467,868 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,306,325 | 5,207,936 |
| Claims liability | 3,856,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Bonds and notes payable | 10,224,747 | 10,544,704 |
| Other long-term obligations | 1,362,817 | 94,969 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 34,394,596 | 29,313,767 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES | - 1,000 | |
| Bonds and notes payable | 122,616,052 | 130,664,335 |
| Other long-term obligations | 9,448,996 | 4,565,049 |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities | 132,065,048 | 135,229,384 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 166,459,644 | 164,543,151 |
| NET ASSETS | | |
| Invested in capital assets, net of related debt | 113,555,461 | 112,851,417 |
| Restricted for: | - ,, | ,, |
| Debt service | 10,916,832 | 12,328,057 |
| Capital projects | 27,908,075 | 22,689,389 |
| Unrestricted | 32,652,657 | 40,622,181 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS | \$ 185,033,025 | \$ 188,491,044 |
| | | |

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS - PRIMARY GOVERNMENT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| OPERATING REVENUES | | _ |
| Student Tuition and Fees | \$ 26,842,851 | \$ 26,692,713 |
| Less: Scholarship discount and allowance | (7,871,913) | (6,825,680) |
| Net tuition and fees | 18,970,938 | 19,867,033 |
| Auxiliary Enterprise Sales and Charges | | |
| Bookstore | 5,747,817 | 5,848,226 |
| Other enterprise | 442,903 | 595,167 |
| Other operating revenues | 170,154 | 212,061 |
| TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES | 25,331,812 | 26,522,487 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Salaries | 89,036,882 | 88,808,507 |
| Employee benefits - SERP and OPEB | 32,488,393 | 23,228,195 |
| Supplies, materials, and other operating expenses and services | 23,394,584 | 23,018,064 |
| Student financial aid | 35,971,406 | 27,146,779 |
| Equipment, maintenance, and repairs | 2,321,875 | 1,300,188 |
| Depreciation | 8,615,122 | 7,094,792 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 191,828,262 | 170,596,525 |
| OPERATING LOSS | (166,496,450) | (144,074,038) |
| NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) | | |
| State apportionments, noncapital | 80,522,558 | 78,562,451 |
| Local property taxes levied for general purposes | 18,856,207 | 19,020,292 |
| Local property taxes levied for debt repayment | 12,341,718 | 16,838,217 |
| Grants and Contracts, noncapital: | | |
| Federal | 38,604,171 | 29,426,578 |
| State | 10,976,468 | 9,718,156 |
| State lottery | 3,638,641 | 3,450,809 |
| Investment income | 1,799,781 | 1,775,559 |
| Interest expense on capital related debt | (6,547,529) | (6,194,517) |
| Transfer to fiduciary funds | (273,567) | (301,185) |
| Other nonoperating revenue | 1,322,854 | 258,773 |
| TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) | 161,241,302 | 152,555,133 |
| INCOME BEFORE OTHER REVENUES | (5,255,148) | 8,481,095 |
| OTHER REVENUES | | 54.106 |
| State revenues, capital | 1 707 120 | 54,106 |
| Local revenues, capital | 1,797,129 | 2,290,227 |
| TOTAL OTHER REVENUES | 1,797,129 | 2,344,333 |
| CHANGE IN NET ASSETS | (3,458,019) | 10,825,428 |
| NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 188,491,044 | 177,665,616 |
| NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR | \$ 185,033,025 | \$ 188,491,044 |

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - PRIMARY GOVERNMENT FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Tuition and fees | \$ 18,184,264 | \$ 20,079,269 |
| Payments to or on behalf of employees | (113,034,762) | (111,985,730) |
| Payments to vendors for supplies and services | (25,173,690) | (27,547,685) |
| Payments to students for scholarships and grants | (34,450,645) | (27,146,779) |
| Auxiliary sales and charges | 6,360,874 | 6,655,454 |
| Net Cash Flows From Operating Activities | (148,113,959) | (139,945,471) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| State apportionments | 77,542,077 | 76,893,295 |
| Property taxes - nondebt related | 18,856,207 | 19,020,292 |
| Grants and contracts | 52,225,491 | 41,409,925 |
| State taxes and other revenues | (109,569) | (50,699) |
| Other receipts | 1,904,131 | 1,234,170 |
| Net Cash Flows From Noncapital Financing Activities | 150,418,337 | 138,506,983 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL | | |
| AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| State revenues, capital projects | 39,293 | 386,644 |
| Local revenue, capital projects | 1,797,129 | 2,290,227 |
| Property taxes - related to capital debt | 12,341,718 | 16,838,217 |
| Proceeds from issuance of capital debt | - | 55,582,086 |
| Acquisition and construction of capital assets | (5,050,032) | (15,779,519) |
| Principal paid on capital debt and leases | (10,639,673) | (10,298,926) |
| Interest paid on capital debt and leases | (4,688,559) | (2,761,735) |
| Net Cash Flows From Capital | | |
| and Related Financing Activities | (6,200,124) | 46,256,994 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Investment income | 1,734,910 | 1,970,860 |
| Net Cash Flows From Investing Activities | 1,734,910 | 1,970,860 |
| NET CHANCE IN CACH AND CACH EQUIVALENCE | (2.160.926) | 46 790 266 |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (2,160,836) | 46,789,366 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 144,418,139 | 97,628,773 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR | \$ 142,257,303 | \$ 144,418,139 |

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - PRIMARY GOVERNMENT, CONTINUED FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------|
| RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING LOSS TO NET CASH | | |
| FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Operating Loss | \$(166,496,450) | \$ (144,074,038) |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Loss to Net Cash | | |
| Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 8,615,122 | 7,094,792 |
| Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities: | | |
| Receivables, net | 919 | 40,263 |
| Inventories | (38,607) | 270,276 |
| Prepaid expenses | (62,974) | 94,643 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 4,549,306 | (3,858,301) |
| Deferred revenue | (928,039) | 293,397 |
| Compensated absences and load banking | (754,476) | (597,783) |
| PARS supplementary retirement plan | 6,309,315 | - |
| Other postemployment benefits | 691,925 | 791,280 |
| Total Adjustments | 18,382,491 | 4,128,567 |
| Net Cash Flows From Operating Activities | \$(148,113,959) | \$ (139,945,471) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents Consist of the Following: | | |
| Cash in banks | \$ 1,232,944 | \$ 1,067,088 |
| Cash in county treasury | 141,024,359 | 143,351,051 |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 142,257,303 | \$ 144,418,139 |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 1 4 2,237,303 | Ψ 17-7,-10,137 |
| Noncash Transactions | | |
| On behalf payments for benefits | \$ 2,183,226 | \$ 2,169,934 |
| | | ,,- |

STATEMENTS OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | | | |
|--|------|------------------------|----|-----------------|----|------------------------|----|-----------------|
| | | Trust | | Agency Funds | | Trust | | Agency Funds |
| ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 777,881 | \$ | 449,158 | \$ | 595,039 | \$ | 407,091 |
| Investments | | 1,227,925 | | 120,139 | | 1,085,706 | | 119,755 |
| Total Assets | | 2,005,806 | \$ | 569,297 | | 1,680,745 | \$ | 526,846 |
| LIABILITIES Due to student groups | | | \$ | 569,297 | | | \$ | 526,846 |
| NET ASSETS | | | | | | | | |
| Unreserved Total Net Assets | \$ | 2,005,806 2,005,806 | | | \$ | 1,680,745 1,680,745 | | |

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

| ADDITIONS Trust Trust Local revenues \$ 2,333,017 \$ 2,051,204 DEDUCTIONS Classified salaries 242,706 227,462 Employee benefits 31,464 49,965 Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 Net Assets - Ending \$ 2,005,806 \$ 1,680,745 | | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|
| DEDUCTIONS \$ 2,333,017 \$ 2,051,204 Classified salaries 242,706 227,462 Employee benefits 31,464 49,965 Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | | Trust | Trust |
| DEDUCTIONS Classified salaries 242,706 227,462 Employee benefits 31,464 49,965 Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | ADDITIONS | | |
| Classified salaries 242,706 227,462 Employee benefits 31,464 49,965 Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Local revenues | \$ 2,333,017 | \$ 2,051,204 |
| Employee benefits 31,464 49,965 Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | DEDUCTIONS | | |
| Books and supplies 681,540 602,376 Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Classified salaries | 242,706 | 227,462 |
| Services and operating expenditures 1,234,873 1,332,291 Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Employee benefits | 31,464 | 49,965 |
| Capital outlay 35,703 96,750 Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Books and supplies | 681,540 | 602,376 |
| Total Deductions 2,226,286 2,308,844 OTHER FINANCING SOURCES Stransfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Services and operating expenditures | 1,234,873 | 1,332,291 |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES 218,330 237,588 Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Capital outlay | 35,703 | 96,750 |
| Operating transfers from primary government 218,330 237,588 Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Total Deductions | 2,226,286 | 2,308,844 |
| Change in Net Assets 325,061 (20,052) Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | OTHER FINANCING SOURCES | | |
| Net Assets - Beginning 1,680,745 1,700,797 | Operating transfers from primary government | 218,330 | 237,588 |
| | Change in Net Assets | 325,061 | (20,052) |
| Net Assets - Ending \$ 2,005,806 \$ 1,680,745 | Net Assets - Beginning | 1,680,745 | 1,700,797 |
| | Net Assets - Ending | \$ 2,005,806 | \$ 1,680,745 |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION

Organization

The Pasadena Area Community College District (the District) was established in 1967, as a political subdivision of the State of California and provides educational services to residents of the surrounding area. The District operates under a locally elected seven-member Board of Trustees form of government, which establishes the policies and procedures by which the District operates. The Board must approve the annual budgets for the General Fund, special revenue funds, capital project funds, and proprietary funds, but these budgets are managed at the department level. Currently, the District operates one community college and one community education center located in Pasadena, California. While the District is a political subdivision of the State of California, it is legally separate and is independent of other State and local governments, and it is not a component unit of the State in accordance with the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 39.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting Entity

The District has adopted GASB Statement No. 39, *Determining Whether Certain Organizations are Component Units*. This statement amends GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, to provide additional guidance to determine whether certain organizations, for which the District is not financially accountable, should be reported as component units based on the nature and significance of their relationship with the District. The three components used to determine the presentation are: providing a "direct benefit", the "environment and ability to access/influence reporting", and the "significance" criterion. The District has evaluated the Pasadena City College Foundation, Inc. and has determined the relationship does not meet the criteria of a component unit and has not included the financial information in this report.

• The Los Angeles County Schools Regionalized Business Service Corporation

The Los Angeles County Schools Regionalized Business Service Corporation (the Corporation) is a legally separate organization and a component unit of the District. The Corporation was formed to issue debt specifically for the acquisition and construction of capital assets for the District. The Governing Board of the Corporation and the District are the same. The financial activity has been "blended" or consolidated within the financial statements of the District as if the activity was the District's. Certificates of participation issued by the Corporation are included as long-term obligations of the District. Individually-prepared financial statements are not prepared for the Corporation.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

For financial reporting purposes, the District is considered a special-purpose government engaged only in business-type activities as defined by GASB Statements No. 34 and No. 35 as amended by GASB Statements No. 37, No. 38, and No. 39. This presentation provides a comprehensive entity-wide perspective of the District's assets, liabilities, activities, and cash flows and replaces the fund group perspective previously required. Accordingly, the District's financial statements have been presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recorded when an obligation has been incurred. All material intra-agency and intra-fund transactions have been eliminated.

Revenues resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, are classified as operating revenues. These transactions are recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. Available means that the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. For the District, operating revenues consist primarily of student fees and auxiliary activities through the bookstore and cafeteria.

Nonexchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include State apportionments, property taxes, certain Federal and State grants, entitlements, and donations. Property tax revenue is recognized in the fiscal year received. State apportionment revenue is earned based upon criteria set forth from the Community Colleges Chancellor's Office and includes reporting of full-time equivalent student (FTES) attendance. The corresponding apportionment revenue is recognized in the period the FTES are generated. Revenue from Federal and State grants and entitlements are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements may include time and/or purpose requirements.

Operating expenses are costs incurred to provide instructional services including support costs, auxiliary services, and depreciation of capital assets. All other expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating. Expenses are recorded on the accrual basis as they are incurred, when goods are received, or services are rendered.

The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) as applicable to colleges and universities, as well as those prescribed by the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office. The District reports are based on all applicable GASB pronouncements, as well as applicable FASB pronouncements issued on or before December 6, 1989, unless those pronouncements conflict or contradict GASB pronouncements. The District has not elected to apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements after that date. When applicable, certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation. The budgetary and financial accounts of the District are maintained in accordance with the State Chancellor's Office's *Budget and Accounting Manual*.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

The financial statements are presented in accordance with the reporting model as prescribed in GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments*, and GASB Statement No. 35, *Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for Public Colleges and Universities*, as amended by GASB Statements No. 37 and No. 38. The business-type activities model followed by the District requires the following components of the District's financial statements:

- Management's Discussion and Analysis
- Basic Financial Statements for the District as a whole including:
 - o Statement of Net Assets Primary Government
 - o Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets Primary Government
 - o Statement of Cash Flows Primary Government
 - o Financial Statements for the Fiduciary Funds including:
 - o Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets
 - o Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets
- Notes to the Financial Statements

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The District's cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, the imprest revolving fund balance, and demand deposits. In the statement of cash flows, cash includes cash in county treasury pool.

Investments

Investments held at June 30, 2011 and 2010, with original maturities greater than one year are stated at fair value. Fair value is estimated based on quoted market prices at year-end. All investments not required to be reported at fair value are stated at cost or amortized cost. Fair values of investments in county and State investment pools are determined by the program sponsor.

Restricted Assets

Restricted assets arise when restrictions on their use change the normal understanding of the availability of the asset. Such constraints are either imposed by creditors, contributors, grantors, or laws of other governments or imposed by enabling legislation.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable include amounts due from the Federal, State, and/or local governments or private sources, in connection with reimbursement of allowable expenditures made pursuant to the District's grants and contracts. Accounts receivable also consist of tuition and fee charges to students and auxiliary enterprise services provided to students, faculty, and staff, the majority of each residing in the State of California. Management has analyzed these accounts and believes all amounts are fully collectable.

Prepaid Expenses

Prepaid expenses represent payments made to vendors and others for services that will benefit periods beyond June 30, 2011.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Inventories

Inventories consist primarily of bookstore merchandise and supplies held for resale to the students and faculty of the college. Inventories are stated at cost, utilizing the weighted average method. The cost is recorded as an expense as the inventory is consumed.

Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets are long-lived assets of the District as a whole and include land, construction-in-progress, buildings, building improvements, site improvements, and equipment. The District does not possess any infrastructure. The District maintains an initial unit cost capitalization threshold of \$5,000 for equipment and \$100,000 for all other capital assets. Purchased or constructed assets are recorded at historical cost, or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. Improvements to buildings and land that significantly increase the value or extend the useful life of the asset are capitalized; the costs of routine maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend an asset's life are charged as an operating expense in the year in which the expense was incurred. Major outlays for capital improvements are capitalized as construction-in-progress as the expenses are incurred.

Depreciation of capital assets is computed and recorded utilizing the straight-line method, half-year convention. Estimated useful lives of the various classes of depreciable capital assets are as follows: buildings and improvements, 50 years; site improvements, 20 years; equipment, 5 to 15 years.

Accrued Liabilities and Long-Term Obligations

All payables, accrued liabilities, and long-term obligations are reported in the entity-wide financial statements.

Compensated Absences

Accumulated unpaid vacation benefits are accrued as a liability as the benefits are earned. The entire compensated absence liability is reported on the government-wide financial statements. For governmental funds, the current portion of unpaid compensated absences is recognized upon the occurrence of relevant events such as usage, employee resignations, and retirements that occur prior to year end that have not yet been paid with expendable available financial resources. These amounts are reported in the fund from which the employees who have accumulated leave are paid. The District also participates in "load-banking" with eligible academic employees, whereby the employee may teach additional courses in one period in exchange for time off in another period.

Sick leave is accumulated without limit for each employee based upon negotiated contracts. Leave with pay is provided when employees are absent for health reasons; however, the employees do not gain a vested right to accumulated sick leave. Employees are never paid for any sick leave balance at termination of employment or any other time. Therefore, the value of accumulated sick leave is not recognized as a liability in the District's financial statements. However, retirement credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all classified school members who retire after January 1, 1999. At retirement, each member will receive .004 year of service credit for each day of unused sick leave. Retirement credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all academic employees and is determined by dividing the number of unused sick days by the number of base service days required to complete the last school year, if employed full time.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue arises when potential revenue does not meet both the "measurable" and "available" criteria for recognition in the current period or when resources are received by the District prior to the incurrence of qualifying expenditures. In subsequent periods, when both revenue recognition criteria are met, or when the District has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for deferred revenue is removed from the combined balance sheet and revenue is recognized. Deferred revenues include (1) amounts received for tuition and fees prior to the end of the fiscal year that are related to the subsequent fiscal year and (2) amounts received from Federal and State grants received before the eligibility requirements are met.

Noncurrent Liabilities

Noncurrent liabilities include bonds and notes payable, compensated absences, capital lease obligations, OPEB obligations, and supplementary retirement obligations with maturities greater than one year.

Net Assets

GASB Statements No. 34 and No. 35 report equity as "Net Assets" and represent the difference between assets and liabilities. Net assets are classified according to imposed restrictions or availability of assets for satisfaction of District obligations according to the following net asset categories:

Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt - Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and outstanding principal balances of debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted - Expendable - Net assets whose use by the District is subject to externally imposed constraints that can be fulfilled by actions of the District pursuant to those constraints or by the passage of time. Net assets may be restricted for capital projects, debt repayment, and/or educational programs. None of the District's restricted net assets have resulted from enabling legislation adopted by the District.

Unrestricted - Net assets that are not subject to externally imposed constraints. Unrestricted net assets may be designated for specific purposes by action of the Board of Trustees or may otherwise be limited by contractual agreements with outside parties.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's practice to use restricted resources first and the unrestricted resources when they are needed. The entity-wide financial statements report \$38,824,907 and \$35,017,446 of restricted net assets for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

State Apportionments

Certain current year apportionments from the State are based on financial and statistical information of the previous year. Any corrections due to the recalculation of the apportionment are made in February of the subsequent year. When known and measurable, these recalculations and corrections are accrued in the year in which the FTES are generated.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Property Taxes

Secured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. The County Assessor is responsible for assessment of all taxable real property. Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and February 1 and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The County of Los Angeles bills and collects the taxes on behalf of the District. Local property tax revenues are recorded when received.

The voters of the District passed a General Obligation Bond in March 2002 for the acquisition, construction, and remodeling of certain District property. As a result of the passage of the Bond, property taxes are assessed on the property within the District specifically for the repayment of the debt incurred. The taxes are billed and collected as noted above and remitted to the District when collected.

Scholarship Discounts and Allowances

Student tuition and fee revenue is reported net of scholarship discounts and allowances in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets. Scholarship discounts and allowances represent the difference between stated charges for enrollment fees and the amount that is paid by students or third parties making payments on the students' behalf. To the extent that fee waivers and discounts have been used to satisfy tuition and fee charges, the District has recorded a scholarship discount and allowance.

Federal Financial Assistance Programs

The District participates in federally funded Pell Grants, SEOG Grants, Federal Work-Study, Academic Competitiveness Grant, and Stafford Direct Loan programs, as well as other programs funded by the Federal government. Financial aid to students is either reported as operating expenses or scholarship allowances, which reduce revenues. The amount reported as operating expense represents the portion of aid that was provided to the student in the form of cash. These programs are audited in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's revised Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*, and the related *Compliance Supplement*.

On-Behalf Payments

GASB Statement No. 24 requires direct on-behalf payments for fringe benefits and salaries made by one entity to a third party recipient for the employees for another legally separate entity be recognized as revenues and expenditures by the employer entity. The State of California makes direct on-behalf payments to the California State Teachers' Retirement Systems (CalSTRS) on behalf of all community colleges in California.

Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Interfund Activity

Interfund transfers and interfund receivables and payables for governmental activities are eliminated during the consolidation process in the entity-wide financial statements.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2010, the GASB issued Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus - an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34.* The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting for a governmental financial reporting entity. The requirements of GASB Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, and the related financial reporting requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments*, were amended to better meet user needs and to address reporting entity issues that have arisen since the issuance of those Statements.

This Statement modifies certain requirements for inclusion of component units in the financial reporting entity. For organizations that previously were required to be included as component units by meeting the fiscal dependency criterion, a financial benefit or burden relationship also would need to be present between the primary government and that organization for it to be included in the reporting entity as a component unit. Further, for organizations that do not meet the financial accountability criteria for inclusion as component units but that, nevertheless, should be included because the primary government's management determines that it would be misleading to exclude them, this Statement clarifies the manner in which that determination should be made and the types of relationships that generally should be considered in making the determination.

This Statement also amends the criteria for reporting component units as if they were part of the primary government (that is, blending) in certain circumstances. For component units that currently are blended based on the "substantively the same governing body" criterion, it additionally requires that (1) the primary government and the component unit have a financial benefit or burden relationship or (2) management (below the level of the elected officials) of the primary government have operational responsibility (as defined in paragraph 8a) for the activities of the component unit. New criteria also are added to require blending of component units whose total debt outstanding is expected to be repaid entirely or almost entirely with resources of the primary government. The blending provisions are amended to clarify that funds of a blended component unit have the same financial reporting requirements as a fund of the primary government. Lastly, additional reporting guidance is provided for blending a component unit if the primary government is a business-type activity that uses a single column presentation for financial reporting.

This Statement also clarifies the reporting of equity interests in legally separate organizations. It requires a primary government to report its equity interest in a component unit as an asset. The provisions of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2012. Early implementation is encouraged.

Comparative Financial Information

Comparative financial information for the prior year has been presented for additional analysis; certain amounts presented in the prior year data may have been reclassified in order to be consistent with the current year's presentation.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 3 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations. The District investment policies do not address risk criteria contained in GASB Statement No. 40.

Investment in County Treasury - The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

The pool is managed by the Los Angeles County Treasurer and is not registered as an investment company with the Securities Exchange Commission. Oversight of the pool is the responsibility of the County Treasury Oversight Committee. California Government Code statutes and the County Treasury Oversight Committee set forth the various investment policies that the treasurer follows.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

| | Maximum | Maximum | Maximum |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Authorized | Remaining | Percentage | Investment |
| Investment Type | Maturity | of Portfolio | in One Issuer |
| Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants | 5 years | None | None |
| Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Treasury Obligations | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Agency Securities | 5 years | None | None |
| Banker's Acceptance | 180 days | 40% | 30% |
| Commercial Paper | 270 days | 25% | 10% |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Repurchase Agreements | 1 year | None | None |
| Reverse Repurchase Agreements | 92 days | 20% of base | None |
| Medium-Term Corporate Notes | 5 years | 30% | None |
| Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | N/A | 20% | 10% |
| Mortgage Pass-Through Securities | 5 years | 20% | None |
| County Pooled Investment Funds | N/A | None | None |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | N/A | None | None |
| Joint Powers Authority Pools | N/A | None | None |

Authorized Under Debt Agreements

Investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code. These provisions allow for the acquisition of investment agreements with maturities of up to 30 years.

Summary of Deposits and Investments

Deposits and investments as of June 30, 2011, consist of the following:

| Primary government | \$ 142,257,303 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Fiduciary funds | 2,575,103 |
| Total Deposits and Investments | \$ 144,832,406 |
| | |
| Cash on hand and in banks | \$ 2,352,483 |
| Cash in revolving | 107,500 |
| Investments | 142,372,423 |
| Total Deposits and Investments | \$ 144,832,406 |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by primarily investing in the County investment pool.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuation is provided by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District's investment by maturity:

| | | Weighted |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Average |
| | Fair | Maturity |
| Investment Type | Value | in Days |
| County Pool - Los Angeles | \$ 140,492,934 | 600 |
| Certificates of Deposit | 1,305,949 | 1,238 |
| Stocks | 148,002 | Not Applicable |
| Mutual Funds | 201,982 | Not Applicable |
| Foreign Currency | 7,996 | Not Applicable |
| Municipal Bonds | 275,000 | 8,767 |
| Total | \$ 142,431,863 | |

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The balance of the District's investments include funds held in the County Pool, Certificates of Deposits which are not rated, nor are they required to be rated. Ratings for the District's other investments were not available.

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a policy for custodial credit risk for deposits. However, the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110 percent of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150 percent of the secured public deposits and letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco having a value of 105 percent of the secured deposits. As of June 30, 2011, the District's bank balance of \$3,214,080 was fully insured.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable for the District consisted primarily of intergovernmental grants, entitlements, interest, and other local sources. All receivables are considered collectable in full.

The accounts receivable are as follows:

| | Primary Government | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|----|------------|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 |
| Federal Government | , | _ | | _ |
| Categorical aid | \$ | 2,873,223 | \$ | 1,853,006 |
| State Government | | | | |
| Apportionment | | 19,253,907 | | 16,273,426 |
| Categorical aid | | 117,131 | | 156,424 |
| Lottery | | 1,664,369 | | 1,554,800 |
| Local Sources | | | | |
| Interest | | 398,068 | | 333,197 |
| Other local sources | | 658,489 | | 1,231,538 |
| Total | \$ | 24,965,187 | \$ | 21,402,391 |
| | | | | |
| Student loan receivables | \$ | 647,454 | \$ | 648,373 |

NOTE 5 - PREPAID EXPENSES

Prepaid expenses consisted of the following:

| | Primary Government | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|----|---------|--|--|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| Prepaid dental insurance premiums | \$ | 206,584 | \$ | 172,415 | | |
| Prepaid CalPERS premiums | | 518,811 | | 565,268 | | |
| Other | | 147,809 | | 72,547 | | |
| Total | \$ | 873,204 | \$ | 810,230 | | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 6 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Operating Transfers

Operating transfers between District governmental funds are used to (1) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, (2) move receipts restricted to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, and (3) use unrestricted revenues collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations. These operating transfers have been eliminated through consolidation within the entity-wide financial statements.

NOTE 7 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, was as follows:

| | Balance | | | Balance |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| | Beginning | | | End |
| | of Year | Additions | Deductions | of Year |
| Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | | | | |
| Land | \$ 10,396,408 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,396,408 |
| Construction in progress | 19,281,817 | 4,583,669 | 15,436,492 | 8,428,994 |
| Total Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | 29,678,225 | 4,583,669 | 15,436,492 | 18,825,402 |
| Capital Assets Being Depreciated | | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 204,531,976 | 8,662,449 | 1,514,515 | 211,679,910 |
| Site improvements | 12,666,324 | 6,774,043 | 1,514,515 | 19,440,367 |
| Equipment | 15,886,982 | 995,533 | 206,758 | 16,675,757 |
| Total Capital Assets Being Depreciated | 233,085,282 | 16,432,025 | 1,721,273 | 247,796,034 |
| | | | | i |
| Total Capital Assets | 262,763,507 | 21,015,694 | 17,157,765 | 266,621,436 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 60,775,271 | 6,549,873 | 1,514,515 | 65,810,629 |
| Site improvements | 6,846,378 | 1,003,506 | - | 7,849,884 |
| Equipment | 10,338,276 | 1,061,743 | 198,530 | 11,201,489 |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation | 77,959,925 | 8,615,122 | 1,713,045 | 84,862,002 |
| Net Capital Assets | \$ 184,803,582 | \$12,400,572 | \$15,444,720 | \$ 181,759,434 |

Depreciation expense for the year was \$8,615,122.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Capital asset activity for the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, was as follows:

| | Balance Beginning of Year | Additions | Deductions | Balance End of Year |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | | | | |
| Land | \$ 10,396,408 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 10,396,408 |
| Construction in progress | 65,167,063 | 8,093,680 | 53,978,926 | 19,281,817 |
| Total Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated | 75,563,471 | 8,093,680 | 53,978,926 | 29,678,225 |
| Capital Assets Being Depreciated | 150 552 050 | 52 079 026 | | 204 521 077 |
| Buildings and improvements | 150,553,050 | 53,978,926 | - | 204,531,976 |
| Site improvements | 12,666,324 | - | - | 12,666,324 |
| Equipment | 13,821,901 | 2,245,992 | 180,911 | 15,886,982 |
| Total Capital Assets Being Depreciated | 177,041,275 | 56,224,918 | 180,911 | 233,085,282 |
| Total Capital Assets | 252,604,746 | 64,318,598 | 54,159,837 | 262,763,507 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | | | | |
| Buildings and improvements | 55,341,608 | 5,433,663 | - | 60,775,271 |
| Site improvements | 6,012,223 | 834,155 | - | 6,846,378 |
| Equipment | 9,679,191 | 826,974 | 167,889 | 10,338,276 |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation | 71,033,022 | 7,094,792 | 167,889 | 77,959,925 |
| Net Capital Assets | \$ 181,571,724 | \$57,223,806 | \$53,991,948 | \$184,803,582 |

Depreciation expense for the year was \$7,094,792.

NOTE 8 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable for the District consisted of the following:

| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
|--------------------------------|------|------------|----|-----------|--|--|
| Accrued payroll and benefits | \$ | 7,671,650 | \$ | 7,458,037 | | |
| Apportionment | | - | | 119,420 | | |
| Construction | | 1,108,766 | | 588,994 | | |
| Student financial aid payments | | 1,520,761 | | - | | |
| Vendor payments | | 1,607,992 | | 1,279,640 | | |
| Total | \$ | 11,909,169 | \$ | 9,446,091 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Primary Government

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 9 - DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue for the District consisted of the following:

| | Primary Government | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----|-----------|--|--|
| | 2011 | | | 2010 | | |
| Federal categorical aid | \$ 42,379 | | \$ | 1,330 | | |
| State categorical aid | | 1,785,056 | | 2,095,135 | | |
| Other State revenue | | 295,457 | | - | | |
| Student fees | | 1,359,240 | | 2,146,833 | | |
| Radio agreement | | 703,829 | | 723,421 | | |
| Other local | | 120,364 | | 241,217 | | |
| Total | \$ | 4,306,325 | \$ | 5,207,936 | | |

NOTE 10 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

Summary

The changes in the District's long-term obligations during the 2011 fiscal year consisted of the following:

| | Beginning Balance | Additions | Deductions | Ending Balance | Amount Due Within One Year |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bonds and Notes Payable | | | | | |
| General obligation bonds | \$ 128,726,385 | \$ 2,176,464 | \$ 8,600,000 | \$ 122,302,849 | \$ 8,480,000 |
| Premium on bonds | 9,907,697 | - | 1,169,747 | 8,737,950 | 1,169,747 |
| 2003 Certificates of Participation, | | | | | |
| Series A | 2,355,000 | - | 555,000 | 1,800,000 | 575,000 |
| Note payable | 219,957 | - | 219,957 | _ | - |
| Total Bonds and Notes Payable | 141,209,039 | 2,176,464 | 10,544,704 | 132,840,799 | 10,224,747 |
| Other Obligations | | | | | |
| Compensated absences | 2,270,081 | - | 561,783 | 1,708,298 | - |
| Load banking | 567,747 | - | 192,693 | 375,054 | - |
| Capital leases | 311,814 | - | 94,969 | 216,845 | 100,954 |
| Other postemployment benefits (net) | 1,510,376 | 1,648,124 | 956,199 | 2,202,301 | - |
| PARS supplementary retirement plan | - | 6,309,315 | - | 6,309,315 | 1,261,863 |
| Total Other Obligations | 4,660,018 | 7,957,439 | 1,805,644 | 10,811,813 | 1,362,817 |
| Total Long-Term Obligations | \$ 145,869,057 | \$ 10,133,903 | \$12,350,348 | \$ 143,652,612 | \$ 11,587,564 |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

The changes in the District's long-term obligations during the 2010 fiscal year consisted of the following:

| | Beginning | | | Ending | Amount Due Within |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | Balance | Additions | Deductions | Balance | One Year |
| Bonds and Notes Payable | | | | | |
| General obligation bonds | \$ 89,953,106 | \$ 58,130,557 | \$ 9,449,581 | \$ 138,634,082 | \$ 9,769,747 |
| 2003 Certificates of Participation, | | | | | |
| Series A | 2,890,000 | - | 535,000 | 2,355,000 | 555,000 |
| Note payable | 429,750 | | 209,793 | 219,957 | 219,957 |
| Total Bonds and Notes Payable | 93,272,856 | 58,130,557 | 10,194,374 | 141,209,039 | 10,544,704 |
| Other Obligations | | | | | |
| Compensated absences | 2,565,451 | - | 295,370 | 2,270,081 | - |
| Load banking | 870,160 | - | 302,413 | 567,747 | - |
| Capital leases | 416,366 | - | 104,552 | 311,814 | 94,969 |
| Other postemployment benefits (net) | 719,096 | 1,728,655 | 937,375 | 1,510,376 | |
| Total Other Obligations | 4,571,073 | 1,728,655 | 1,639,710 | 4,660,018 | 94,969 |
| Total Long-Term Obligations | \$ 97,843,929 | \$ 59,859,212 | \$ 11,834,084 | \$ 145,869,057 | \$ 10,639,673 |

Description of Debt

Payments of the general obligation bonds are to be made by the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund with local property tax collections. Payments for the certificates of participation (COPs) and the notes payable obligation are made by the Other Debt Service Fund. The compensated absences will be paid by the fund for which the employee worked. Capital lease payments are made out of the unrestricted General Fund. Load banking obligations are paid primarily from the unrestricted General Fund. The OPEB obligation will be paid primarily from the Unrestricted General Fund. The PARS Supplementary Retirement Obligation will be paid from the Unrestricted General Fund.

General obligations bonds were approved by a local election in March 2002. The total amount approved by the voters was \$150,000,000. Interest rates range from 2.000 percent to 6.654 percent. The bonds have been issued to finance the voter approved facilities acquisition and construction. At June 30, 2011, \$149,857,000 had been issued and \$122,302,849 was outstanding, exclusive of any premiums on the bonds.

In July 2006, the District issued 2006 Series C refunding bonds. The purpose of Series C was to advance refund previously issued Series A bond maturities totaling \$21,820,000. The Series A bonds refinanced include the maturities beginning June 1, 2014 to June 1, 2023, and a maturity of June 1, 2028. An irrevocable trust was established with proceeds sufficient to make all future payments on the bonds. This is a defeasance "in-substance" and legally satisfies the previously issued bonds. As such, the obligation is not reported in the financial statements of the District. The bonds remain outstanding, and at June 30, 2011, the outstanding balance is \$19,422,849.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Build America Bonds

In October 2009, the District issued General Obligation Bonds: \$26,705,000 Series D General Obligation Bonds and \$25,295,000 Series E Bonds. The Series E Bonds are Build America Bonds. The repayment of the interest on these bonds is subsidized by the U.S. Treasury.

The 2003 Certificates of Participation were issued in September 2003 in the amount of \$5,380,000 to prepay its proportionate share of the 1993 Certificates of Participation Series A. At June 30, 2011, the balance outstanding was \$1,800,000. The certificates mature through 2014 with interest rates ranging from 2.000 percent to 4.625 percent.

The notes payable were issued in 1996 in the amount of \$2,240,000 to fund energy-retrofitting projects throughout the District. At June 30, 2011, the total balance outstanding had been repaid.

The District has utilized capital leases purchase agreements to purchase equipment. The current lease purchase agreements will be paid through 2014.

Bonded Debt

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

General Obligation Bonds, 2002 Series:

| | | | | Bonds | Accretion | | Bonds |
|------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Issue | Interest | Original | Outstanding | Additions/ | | Outstanding |
| Bonds | Date | Rate | Issue | July 1, 2010 | Issuances | Redeemed | June 30, 2011 |
| A | 6/1/2003 | 2%-5% | \$33,000,000 | \$ 2,835,000 | \$ - | \$ 910,000 | \$ 1,925,000 |
| В | 7/12/2006 | 4.5%-5.3% | 65,000,000 | 50,590,000 | - | 1,635,000 | 48,955,000 |
| C | 7/12/2006 | 3.9%-4.4% | 22,657,774 | 23,301,385 | 2,176,464 | 6,055,000 | 19,422,849 |
| D | 10/15/2009 | 3%-5 % | 26,705,000 | 26,705,000 | = | - | 26,705,000 |
| E | 10/15/2009 | 6.5% - 6.6% | 25,295,000 | 25,295,000 | - | - | 25,295,000 |
| Unamortized pre- | miums: | | | | | | |
| В | | | | 1,729,684 | - | 78,622 | 1,651,062 |
| C | | | | 4,739,210 | - | 947,842 | 3,791,368 |
| D and E | | | | 3,438,803 | | 143,283 | 3,295,520 |
| | | | | \$138,634,082 | \$ 2,176,464 | \$9,769,747 | \$131,040,799 |
| | | | | | | | |

General Obligation Bond 2002 Series A

The general obligation bonds mature through 2013 as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Principal Principal | Interest | | Total | |
|-------------|---------------------|----------|---------|--------------|--|
| 2012 | \$ 945,000 | \$ | 77,000 | \$ 1,022,000 | |
| 2013 | 980,000 | | 39,200 | 1,019,200 | |
| Total | \$ 1,925,000 | \$ | 116,200 | \$ 2,041,200 | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

General Obligation Bond 2002 Series B

The general obligation bonds mature through 2032 as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| 2012 | \$ 1,395,000 | \$ 2,375,125 | \$ 3,770,125 | |
| 2013 | 1,460,000 | 2,310,888 | 3,770,888 | |
| 2014 | 1,525,000 | 2,243,725 | 3,768,725 | |
| 2015 | 1,600,000 | 2,173,413 | 3,773,413 | |
| 2016 | 1,670,000 | 2,099,838 | 3,769,838 | |
| 2017-2021 | 9,600,000 | 9,194,075 | 18,794,075 | |
| 2022-2026 | 12,330,000 | 6,376,537 | 18,706,537 | |
| 2027-2031 | 15,750,000 | 2,893,855 | 18,643,855 | |
| 2032 | 3,625,000 | 90,625 | 3,715,625 | |
| Total | \$ 48,955,000 | \$ 29,758,081 | \$ 78,713,081 | |

General Obligation Bond 2006 Series C

The general obligation bonds mature through 2015 as follows:

| | | Principal (Including Accreted Accreted | | | | | |
|-------------|------|--|----|-----------|----|------------|--|
| Fiscal Year | Inte | Interest to Date) | | Interest | | Total | |
| 2012 | \$ | 5,750,319 | \$ | 299,681 | \$ | 6,050,000 | |
| 2013 | | 5,280,611 | | 869,389 | | 6,150,000 | |
| 2014 | | 4,502,823 | | 1,302,177 | | 5,805,000 | |
| 2015 | | 3,889,096 | | 1,660,904 | | 5,550,000 | |
| Total | \$ | 19,422,849 | \$ | 4,132,151 | \$ | 23,555,000 | |

General Obligation Bond 2002 Series D

The general obligation bonds mature through 2027 as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| 2012 | \$ 90,000 | \$ 1,266,350 | \$ 1,356,350 | |
| 2013 | 110,000 | 1,263,350 | 1,373,350 | |
| 2014 | 860,000 | 1,248,800 | 2,108,800 | |
| 2015 | 1,485,000 | 1,206,200 | 2,691,200 | |
| 2016 | 1,545,000 | 1,145,600 | 2,690,600 | |
| 2017-2021 | 8,805,000 | 4,586,600 | 13,391,600 | |
| 2022-2026 | 11,220,000 | 2,105,000 | 13,325,000 | |
| 2027 | 2,590,000 | 64,750 | 2,654,750 | |
| Total | \$ 26,705,000 | \$ 12,886,650 | \$ 39,591,650 | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

General Obligation Bond 2002 Series E

The general obligation bonds mature through 2035 as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| 2012 | \$ - | \$ 1,668,642 | \$ 1,668,642 | |
| 2013 | - | 1,668,642 | 1,668,642 | |
| 2014 | - | 1,668,642 | 1,668,642 | |
| 2015 | - | 1,668,642 | 1,668,642 | |
| 2016 | - | 1,668,642 | 1,668,642 | |
| 2017-2021 | - | 8,343,209 | 8,343,209 | |
| 2022-2026 | - | 8,343,209 | 8,343,209 | |
| 2027-2031 | 11,590,000 | 6,868,961 | 18,458,961 | |
| 2032-2035 | 13,705,000 | 1,871,603 | 15,576,603 | |
| Total | \$ 25,295,000 | \$ 33,770,192 | \$ 59,065,192 | |

2003 Certificates of Participation Series A

The certificates of participation mature through 2014 as follows:

| Year Ending | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|----------|---------|-------|-----------|
| June 30, | Principal | Interest | | Total | |
| 2012 | \$ 575,000 | \$ | 63,625 | \$ | 638,625 |
| 2013 | 600,000 | | 40,125 | | 640,125 |
| 2014 | 625,000 | | 14,063 | | 639,063 |
| Total | \$ 1,800,000 | \$ | 117,813 | \$ | 1,917,813 |

Compensated Absences

Vacation earned, but not used, is accumulated and paid in accordance with the bargaining unit agreements of the classified employees of the District. The total accumulated unpaid employee vacation for the District at June 30, 2011 and 2010, is \$1,708,298 and \$2,270,081, respectively.

Load Banking

In accordance with the bargaining unit agreement with faculty, unpaid excess courses taught by faculty may be exchanged for reduced teaching load in future terms. The value is based on the salary of the faculty member when earned and is calculated using full-time equivalent units. The accumulated unused value at June 30, 2011 and 2010, is \$375,054 and \$567,747, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Capital Leases

The District's liability on lease agreements with options to purchase is summarized below:

| Balance, July 1, 2010 | \$ 348,707 |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Payments | 106,206 |
| Balance, June 30, 2011 | \$ 242,501 |

The capital leases have minimum lease payments as follows:

| Year Ending | | Lease |
|---|----------|----------|
| June 30, | <u>F</u> | Payment |
| 2012 | \$ | 100,954 |
| 2013 | | 97,660 |
| 2014 | | 43,887 |
| Total | | 242,501 |
| Less: Amount Representing Interest | | (25,656) |
| Present Value of Minimum Lease Payments | \$ | 216,845 |

Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Obligation

The District's annual required contribution for the year ended June 30, 2011, was \$1,608,500, and contributions made by the District during the year were \$956,199. Interest on the net OPEB obligation and adjustments to the annual required contribution were \$75,519 and (\$35,895), respectively, which resulted in an increase to the net OPEB obligation of \$691,925. As of June 30, 2011, the net OPEB obligation was \$2,202,301. See Note 11 for additional information regarding the OPEB obligation and the postemployment benefits plan.

PARS Supplementary Retirement Plan

On June 1, 2011, the District's Governing Board adopted a resolution adopting a supplementary retirement plan as an early retirement incentive for senior academic, classified and management employees. The District worked with Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS) to create a plan for classified and academic employees employed as of February 2, 2011, with five years of District service and having reached the age of 55. The employees will be paid 75 percent of their salary for the last year of service. The following represents the District's outstanding obligation.

Annual

| | Alliuai |
|-------------|--------------|
| Fiscal Year | Payment |
| 2012 | \$ 1,261,863 |
| 2013 | 1,261,863 |
| 2014 | 1,261,863 |
| 2015 | 1,261,863 |
| 2016 | 1,261,863 |
| Total | \$ 6,309,315 |
| | |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 11 - POSTEMPLOYMENT HEALTH CARE PLAN AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) OBLIGATION

The District provides postemployment health care benefits for retired employees in accordance with negotiated contracts with the various bargaining units of the District.

Plan Description

The Postemployment Benefits Plan (the Plan) is a single-employer defined benefit healthcare plan. The District provides medical and dental insurance benefits to eligible retirees and their spouses. Membership of the Plan consists of 426 retirees receiving benefits and 659 active Plan members.

Funding Policy

The contribution requirements of Plan members and the District are established and may be amended by the District and the District's bargaining units. The required contribution is based on projected pay-as-you-go financing requirements with an additional amount to prefund benefits as determined annually through agreements between the District and the bargaining units. For fiscal year 2010-2011, the District contributed \$956,199 to the Plan, all of which was used for current premiums.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The District's annual OPEB cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the payments of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities (UAAL) (or funding costs) over a period not to exceed 30 years. The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the Plan, and changes in the District's net OPEB obligation to the Plan:

| Annual required contribution | \$ 1,608,500 |
|--|-----------------|
| Interest on net OPEB obligation | 75,519 |
| Adjustment to annual required contribution | (35,895) |
| Annual OPEB cost (expense) | 1,648,124 |
| Contributions made | (956,199) |
| Increase in net OPEB obligation | 691,925 |
| Net OPEB obligation, beginning of year | 1,510,376 |
| Net OPEB obligation, end of year | \$ 2,202,301 |

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Trend Information

Trend information for the annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the Plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the past three years is as follows:

| Year Ended | An | nual OPEB | | Actual | Percentage | N | Vet OPEB |
|------------|------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|----|------------|
| June 30, | Cost | | Cost Contribution | | Contributed | C | Obligation |
| 2009 | \$ | 1,724,551 | \$ | 1,005,455 | 58% | \$ | 719,096 |
| 2010 | | 1,728,655 | | 937,375 | 54% | | 1,510,376 |
| 2011 | | 1,648,124 | | 956,199 | 58% | | 2,202,301 |

Funding Status and Funding Progress

The funded status of the OPEB Plan as of June 30, 2011, valuation is as follows:

| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | \$ 13,381,136 |
|---|------------------|
| Actuarial Value of Plan Assets | |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) | \$ 13,381,136 |
| | |
| Funded Ratio (Actuarial Value of Plan Assets/AAL) | 0% |
| Covered Payroll | \$ 51,831,265 |
| UAAL as Percentage of Covered Payroll | 26% |

The above noted actuarial accrued liability was based on the June 10, 2010, actuarial valuation. Actuarial valuation of an ongoing plan involves estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the Plan and the annual required contribution of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information, follows the notes to the financial statements and presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of Plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The District has not established an irrevocable trust for the purpose of funding postemployment benefits, but has designated resources in the amount of \$15,598,490 for this purpose. A designation is not a binding commitment and is not included in the actuarial determination of plan assets as the election to set aside funds is not the equivalent of irrevocable trust. Funding the benefits is not required by GASB Statement No. 45.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive Plan (the Plan as understood by the employer and the Plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and the Plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial values of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the June 10, 2010, actuarial valuation, the entity age normal method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a five percent investment rate of return. Healthcare cost trend rates are expected to increase four percent per year. The UAAL is being amortized at a level percentage of payroll. The remaining amortization period at July 1, 2011, was 27 years. The actuarial value of assets was not determined in this actuarial valuation.

NOTE 12 - RISK MANAGEMENT

Insurance Coverages

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District acquires coverage through joint powers authorities described in the following paragraph. The District provides health insurance benefits to eligible District employees, their families, and retired employees of the District.

Joint Powers Authority Risk Pools

During fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, the District contracted with the Statewide Association of Community Colleges (SWACC) Joint Powers Authority for property and liability insurance coverage. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years. There has not been any reduction in coverage from the prior year.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Workers' Compensation

For fiscal year 2011, the District participated in the Schools Alliance for Workers' Compensation Excess II (SAWCX II) JPA, an insurance purchasing pool. The District is self insured for the first \$500,000 of each workers' compensation claim. The intent of the JPA is to achieve the benefit of a reduced premium for the District by virtue of its grouping and representation with other participants in the JPA. The workers' compensation experience of the participating districts is calculated as one experience, and a common premium rate is applied to all districts in the JPA. Each participant pays its workers' compensation premium based on its individual rate. Total savings are then calculated and each participant's individual performance is compared to the overall saving. A participant will then either receive money from or be required to contribute to the "equity-pooling fund." This "equity pooling" arrangement ensures that each participant shares equally in the overall performance of the JPA. Participation in the JPA is limited to K-12 and community college districts that can meet the JPA's selection criteria.

| Insurance Program/Company Name | Type of Coverage | Limits |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Self-Insured | Property and Liability | \$ 25,000/\$50,000 |
| SWACC | Liability | 5,000,000 |
| SWACC | Property | 250,000,000 |
| SELF | Excess Liability | 20,000,000 |
| Self-Insured | Workers' Compensation | 1,000,000 |
| SAWCX II | Excess Workers' Compensation | 25,000,000 |

Claims Liabilities

The District establishes a liability for both reported and unreported events, which includes estimates of both future payments of losses and related claim adjustment expenses. Amounts recorded for workers' compensation are based on actuarial estimates while amounts for property and liability are based on management estimates. The following represent the changes in approximate aggregate liabilities for the District from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011:

XX7 - ..1-----

| | | workers | | Property |
|---|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| | Compensation | | and Liability | |
| Liability Balance, July 1, 2010 | \$ | 1,250,000 | \$ | 178,954 |
| Claims and changes in estimates | | 2,888,729 | | 186,665 |
| Claims payments | | (507,729) | | (140,619) |
| Liability Balance, June 30, 2011 | \$ | 3,631,000 | \$ | 225,000 |
| Assets Available to Pay Claims at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 1,806,951 | \$ | 741,508 |

At June 30, 2011, the Workers' Compensation fund had a deficit ending balance of \$(1,829,860), This deficit is being addressed through increased insurance and increased charges to the District and employees.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 13 - EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

Qualified employees are covered under multiple-employer retirement plans maintained by agencies of the State of California. Certificated employees are members of the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) and classified employees are members of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS).

CalSTRS

Plan Description

The District contributes to CalSTRS, a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalSTRS. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the State Teachers' Retirement Law. CalSTRS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. Copies of the CalSTRS annual financial report may be obtained from CalSTRS, 7919 Folsom Blvd., Sacramento, CA 95826.

Funding Policy

Active members of the DB Plan are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary while the District is required to contribute an actuarially determined rate. The actuarial methods and assumptions used for determining the rate are those adopted by the CalSTRS Teachers' Retirement Board. The required employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2010-2011 was 8.25 percent of annual payroll. The contribution requirements of the plan members are established by State statute. The District's total contributions to CalSTRS for the fiscal years ended une 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, were \$4,223,334, \$4,276,079, and \$4,465,113, respectively, and equal 100 percent of the required contributions for each year.

CalPERS

Plan Description

The District contributes to the School Employer Pool under CalPERS, a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalPERS. The plan provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and survivor benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Laws. CalPERS issues a separate comprehensive annual financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. Copies of the CalPERS' annual financial report may be obtained from the CalPERS Executive Office, 400 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95811.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Funding Policy

Active members of the DB Plan are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary while the District is required to contribute an actuarially determined rate. The actuarial methods and assumptions used for determining the rate are those adopted by the CalPERS Board of Administration. The District's contribution rate to CalPERS for fiscal year 2010-2011 was 10.707 percent of covered payroll. The District's contributions to CalPERS for fiscal years ending June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, were \$2,421,742, \$2,232,050, and \$2,128,647, respectively, and equaled 100 percent of the required contributions for each year.

On-Behalf Payments

The State of California makes contributions to CalSTRS on behalf of the District. These payments consist of State General Fund contributions to CalSTRS which amounted to \$2,183,226, \$2,169,934, and \$2,444,717, and (4.267 percent) of salaries subject to CalSTRS for the years ended June 30, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. No contributions from the State were made for CalPERS for the year ended June 30, 2011. These amounts have been reflected in the financial statements as a component of nonoperating revenue and employee benefit expense.

Social Security

As established by Federal law, all public sector employees who are not members of their employer's existing retirement system (CalSTRS or CalPERS) must be covered by Social Security or an alternative plan. Only CalPERS members are covered by social security. All others who are not members of CalSTRS are members of an alternative retirement plan referred to as APPLE.

NOTE 14 - PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC ENTITY RISK POOLS AND JOINT POWERS AUTHORITIES

The District is a member of the Statewide Association of Community Colleges (SWACC) JPA and Schools Excess Liability Fund (SELF). The District pays premiums for its property liability and excess liability coverage. The relationship between the District and the JPA is such that it is not a component unit of the District for financial reporting purposes.

The District is also a member of the Schools Alliance for Workers' Compensation Excess II (SAWCX II) JPA. The District pays premiums for excess workers' compensation coverage. The relationship between the District and the JPA is such that it is not a component unit of the District for financial reporting purposes.

The JPAs have budgeting and financial reporting requirements independent of member units and their financial statements are not presented in these financial statements; however, transactions between the JPAs and the District are included in these statements. Audited financial statements are available from the respective entities.

During the year ended June 30, 2011, the District made payments of \$683,259, \$132,704, and \$47,819 to SWACC, SAWCX II, and SELF, respectively.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

NOTE 15 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The District and the Pasadena City College Foundation (the Foundation) provide mutually beneficial services to each other and to the students of the District. The Foundation is a separate not for profit organization under the Internal Revenue Code Section 501 (c)(3). The Foundation has a separate Board of Directors and has been determined not to be a component unit under GASB Statement No. 39. During the 2010-2011 year, the District has provided office space and supplies to the Foundation and the employees of the Foundation are District employees; however 50 percent of the cost of the salaries and benefits is reimbursed by the Foundation. The value of the office space and miscellaneous supplies has not been calculated or valued. The Foundation provided \$316,717 in scholarships to the students of the District, and \$416,301 in program support and grants to support the programs and services of the Foundation. Additionally, the Foundation received equipment in the amount of \$169,582 from donors that was passed through to the District for use in various programs.

NOTE 16 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Deferral of State Apportionments

Certain apportionments owed to the District for funding of FTES, which are attributable to the 2010-2011 fiscal year have been deferred to the 2011-2012 fiscal year. The total amount of funding deferred into the 2011-2012 fiscal year was \$19,253,907. As of July 31, 2011, this amount has been received.

Fiscal Issues Relating to State-Wide Funding Reductions

The State of California economy is continuing through a recessionary period. The California Community College system is reliant on the State of California to appropriate the funding necessary to provide for the educational services and student support programs that are mandated for the colleges. Current year appropriations have now been deferred to a subsequent period, creating significant cash flow management issues for California community colleges in addition to requiring substantial budget reductions.

The District has implemented budgetary reductions to counter the reductions in apportionment and program funding. However, continued reductions and deferral of cash payments will ultimately impact the District's ability to meet the goals for educational services.

Grants

The District receives financial assistance from Federal and State agencies in the form of grants. The disbursement of funds received under these programs generally requires compliance with terms and conditions specified in the grant agreements and are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims resulting from such audits could become a liability of the District. However, in the opinion of management, any such disallowed claims will not have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District at June 30, 2011.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District at June 30, 2011.

Operating Leases

The District has entered into various lease agreements for facilities that, by nature, are considered operating leases. The outstanding commitment is approximately \$4.9 million.

Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2011, the District had the following commitments with respect to the unfinished capital projects:

| | Remaining | Expected |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Construction | Year of |
| CAPITAL PROJECTS | Commitments | Completions |
| Arts Building | \$ 28,855,147 | 2012 |
| C - Building Access Compliance | 25,908 | Ongoing |
| Campus Center | 34,912 | Ongoing |
| Campus Remodeling | 130,366 | Ongoing |
| Classroom Conversions | 132,576 | Ongoing |
| Electrical Upgrade | 21,011 | Ongoing |
| Elevator Upgrades | 45,740 | Ongoing |
| IT Building | 12,800 | Ongoing |
| Lighting Upgrade | 40,000 | Ongoing |
| Technology Upgrade Plan | 201,225 | 2012 |
| U - Building | 183,038 | Ongoing |
| Construction Management | 562,667 | Ongoing |
| | \$ 30,245,390 | |
| | | |

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB) FUNDING PROGRESS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age Normal Method (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b - a) | Funded Ratio (a / b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ([b - a] / c) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Φ. | | | 0% | | 22% |
| August 25, 2008 June 10, 2010 | 5 - | \$ 13,305,204 13,381,136 | \$ 13,305,204 13,381,136 | 0% | \$ 60,439,599 51,831,265 | 26% |

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

DISTRICT ORGANIZATION JUNE 30, 2011

The Pasadena Area Community College District was established in 1967 and is located in Los Angeles County. There were no changes in the boundaries of the District during the current year. The District's college is accredited by the Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, and the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, which is one of six regional associations that accredit public and private schools, colleges, and universities in the United States.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

| <u>MEMBER</u> | <u>OFFICE</u> | TERM EXPIRES |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Mr. William Thomson | President | November 2011 |
| Mr. Geoffrey L. Baum | Vice President | November 2013 |
| Mr. John Martin | Clerk | November 2011 |
| Ms. Belinda Brown | Member | November 2013 |
| Dr. Anthony Fellow | Member | November 2013 |
| Dr. Jeanette Mann | Member | November 2011 |
| Ms. Linda Wah | Member | November 2011 |
| Mr. Alexander Soto | Student Trustee | June 30, 2011 |

ADMINISTRATION

Mr. Lyle Engelingda

| Dr. Mark W. Rocha | President and District Superintendent |
|-------------------------|--|
| Dr. Richard P. van Pelt | Interim, Vice President, Administrative Services |
| Dr. Jacqueline Jacobs | Vice President, Instruction |
| Dr. Lisa Sugimoto | Interim, Vice President, College Advancement |
| Dr. Stuart Wilcox | Interim, Vice President, Student and Learning Services |
| Mrs. Elaine Chapman | Interim Dean, External Relations |
| Mr. Robert B. Miller | Interim Dean, Enrollment Management |
| Ms. Crystal Kollross | Interim Dean, Planning and Research |

Dean, Human Resources

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

| | | Pass-Through | | |
|--|-----------|--------------|----|------------|
| Federal Grantor/Pass-Through | CFDA | Identifying | F | Program |
| Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Number | Number | | penditures |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION | Transcr | Trumber | | benarares |
| TRIO Cluster (Direct Funded): | | | | |
| TRIO - Student Support Services and Student Grants | 84.042A | | \$ | 282,283 |
| TRIO - Upward Bound | 84.047A | | Ψ | 283,403 |
| TRIO - Upward Bound Math and Science | 84.047M | | | 262,256 |
| Subtotal TRIO Cluster | 04.04/IVI | | - | 827,942 |
| Passed through from California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office | | | • | 021,942 |
| CTEA Title I-C | 84.048 | 10-C01-040 | | 735,556 |
| CTEA III. Tech-Prep Education Grant | 84.243 | 10-201-040 | | 69,708 |
| Passed through the California Department of Education | 04.243 | 10-139-770 | | 09,708 |
| Adult Education and Family Literacy Act - Adult Basic Education | 84.002A | 14508 | | 249,512 |
| XL for Life: Transforming Developmental Education at PCC to Improve | 04.002A | 14300 | | 249,312 |
| Hispanic Student Success | 84.031S | | | 380,649 |
| CCAMPIS - Child Development Program | 84.355A | | | 144,137 |
| Passed through from California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office | 04.333A | | | 144,137 |
| ARRA - State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Education State Grants | 84.394 | [1] | | 42,334 |
| Student Financial Assistance Cluster (Direct Funded): | 04.374 | [1] | | 72,337 |
| Pell Grant | 84.063 | | 3 | 1,189,291 |
| Pell Grant Administration Grant | 84.063 | | J | 41,920 |
| Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant | 84.007 | | | 439,929 |
| Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant Administration | 84.007 | | | 28,193 |
| Federal Work-Study Program | 84.033 | | | 454,885 |
| Federal Work-Study Program - Administrative Cost Allocation | 84.033 | | | 30,686 |
| Federal Direct Student Loans | 84.268 | | | 2,223,242 |
| Academic Competitiveness Grants | 84.375 | | | 151,643 |
| Nursing Loan Program | 93.364 | | | 28,938 |
| Total Student Financial Assistance Cluster | 73.301 | | | 34,588,727 |
| Total U.S. Department of Education | | | | 35,416,669 |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES | | | | 3,110,007 |
| Passed through the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office | | | | |
| Foster Care Education Program | 93.658 | [1] | | 62,538 |
| Personal and Home Care Aide State Training Program (PHCAST) | 93.512 | F10-0062 | | 39,437 |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | 93.558 | [1] | | 47,305 |
| Passed through the County of Los Angeles Department of Social Services | , , , , , | [-] | | ,= |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | 93.558 | CCS09010 | | 87,141 |
| Passed through the Foundation for California Community Colleges | 72.223 | 32237310 | | 0,,1.1 |
| TANF, Child Development Careers | 93.575 | 1012-31 | | 41,749 |
| , | | | | , |

^[1] Pass-Through Identifying Number not available.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS, CONTINUED FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

| Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title Number Number Expenditu | |
|---|-----|
| Grantor/Program or Cluster Title Number Number Expenditu | |
| ILC DEDADEMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN GEDVICES (C | |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (Continued) | |
| Passed through the California Department of Education | |
| Child Care and Development Block Grant 93.575 000324 \$ 125, | 068 |
| Child Care and Development Block Grant - Mandatory Match 93.596 000321 111, | 467 |
| ARRA – Child Care and Development Block Grant - CCAP 93.713 000344 | 598 |
| ARRA – Child Care and Development Block Grant - CRPM 93.713 000343 6, | 567 |
| Passed through the Foundation for California Community Colleges | |
| Youth Empowerment Strategies for Success - Los Angeles (YESS-LA) 93.674 [1] 75, | 532 |
| Passed through the Community College Foundation | |
| Model Approach to Partnership in Parenting (MAPP) 93.674 806-120 22, | 500 |
| Total Department of Health and Human Services 620, | 102 |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | |
| Forest Reserve 10.665 75, | 309 |
| Passed through the California Department of Education | |
| | 888 |
| Total US Department of Agriculture 125 | 197 |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
| Passed through the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office | |
| ARRA - Economic Stimulus Program Funds for Phase II, Allied Health | |
| Program Expansion 17.258 09-127-11 362, | 013 |
| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS | |
| Veterans Education 64.000 3, | 144 |
| NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION | |
| Providing More to Increase Stem Majors 47.076 132, | 338 |
| NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION | |
| Passed through Foothill-DeAnza Community College District | |
| Aurora Project 43.001 GSA2011-004 6, | 377 |
| SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION | |
| Small Business Development 59.037 52, | |
| Total Federal Expenditures \$ 38,341 | 097 |

^[1] Pass-Through Identifying Number not available.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

| | Program Entitlements | | |
|--|----------------------|---------|-------------|
| | Current | Prior | Total |
| PROGRAM | Year | Year | Entitlement |
| Associate Degree Nursing | \$ 118,187 | \$ - | \$ 118,187 |
| Biotechnology Hub | 40,000 | 16,725 | 56,725 |
| Bridges to Stem Cell Research | 583,497 | 216,115 | 799,612 |
| CTE 140 Community Collaborative Projects | 310,000 | 259,987 | 569,987 |
| CTE 141 Collaborative Supplemental | 79,000 | 80,230 | 159,230 |
| CTE 142 Workforce Innovative Partnership | 150,000 | 201,106 | 351,106 |
| Basic Skills | 177,540 | 20,734 | 198,274 |
| Cal Grant "B" | 1,900,000 | 6,584 | 1,906,584 |
| Cal Grant "C" | 25,000 | - | 25,000 |
| CalWORKS | 275,483 | - | 275,483 |
| Child Development Program | 13,333 | - | 13,333 |
| Child Development Program | 305,763 | - | 305,763 |
| Child Development Program | 5,200 | 1,406 | 6,606 |
| Cooperative Agencies Resources for Education (CARE) | 74,971 | - | 74,971 |
| Curriculum Development | - | 67,126 | 67,126 |
| Disabled Students Program and Services (DSPS) | 651,636 | - | 651,636 |
| Economic Development - Applied Biological Technology | 205,000 | - | 205,000 |
| Extended Opportunity Program and Services (EOP&S) | 92,285 | - | 92,285 |
| Extended Opportunity Program and Services (EOP&S) | - | 21,502 | 21,502 |
| Extended Opportunity Program and Services (EOP&S) | 686,807 | 29,683 | 716,490 |
| Faculty/Staff Professional Development | - | 10,028 | 10,028 |
| Foster Care Education Program | 70,408 | - | 70,408 |
| Human Resources Tech Training | - | 1,760 | 1,760 |
| Instructional Equipment | - | 27,446 | 27,446 |
| Matriculation - Administration | 349,965 | - | 349,965 |
| Matriculation - Administration | - | 229,877 | 229,877 |
| Matriculation - Credit | 599,391 | - | 599,391 |
| Matriculation - Non Credit | 173,925 | - | 173,925 |
| Matriculation - Non Credit | _ | 27,604 | 27,604 |
| MESA | 50,500 | 11,913 | 62,413 |
| Puente Program Mentor | 1,500 | - | 1,500 |
| SFAA Augmentation | 571,545 | _ | 571,545 |
| Staff Diversity - AB1725 | 9,913 | 35,704 | 45,617 |
| Student Financial Aid Administration | 199,232 | - | 199,232 |
| Student Services Automated Reporting | 103,415 | _ | 103,415 |
| Telecommunications and Technology | 9,389 | - | 9,389 |

| Program. | Revenues |
|----------|----------|
|----------|----------|

| Cash | Accounts | Deferred | Total | Program |
|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Received | Receivable | Revenue | Revenue | Expenditures |
| \$ 99,277 | \$ 18,910 | \$ - | \$ 118,187 | \$ 118,187 |
| 40,725 | 1,279 | - | 42,004 | 42,004 |
| 795,934 | - | 297,958 | 497,976 | 497,976 |
| 969,988 | - | 597,686 | 372,302 | 372,302 |
| 289,229 | - | 178,265 | 110,964 | 110,964 |
| 351,106 | - | 78,812 | 272,294 | 272,294 |
| 198,274 | - | 55,489 | 142,785 | 142,785 |
| 1,892,135 | - | 3,532 | 1,888,603 | 1,888,603 |
| 16,560 | - | - | 16,560 | 16,560 |
| 275,484 | - | 9,431 | 266,053 | 266,053 |
| - | 13,333 | - | 13,333 | 13,333 |
| 216,736 | 2,535 | - | 219,271 | 219,271 |
| 2,760 | 231 | - | 2,991 | 2,991 |
| 74,971 | - | - | 74,971 | 74,971 |
| 67,126 | - | - | 67,126 | 67,126 |
| 651,636 | - | - | 651,636 | 651,636 |
| 123,000 | 63,567 | - | 186,567 | 186,567 |
| 92,285 | - | 48,890 | 43,395 | 43,395 |
| 21,491 | - | - | 21,491 | 21,491 |
| 716,490 | - | - | 716,490 | 716,490 |
| 10,028 | - | 459 | 9,569 | 9,569 |
| 53,132 | 17,276 | - | 70,408 | 70,408 |
| 1,760 | - | - | 1,760 | 1,760 |
| 27,446 | - | 23,535 | 3,911 | 3,911 |
| 349,965 | - | 262,313 | 87,652 | 87,652 |
| 229,877 | - | 47,752 | 182,125 | 182,125 |
| 599,391 | - | - | 599,391 | 599,391 |
| 173,925 | - | 41,063 | 132,862 | 132,862 |
| 27,604 | - | - | 27,604 | 27,604 |
| 49,789 | - | 155 | 49,634 | 49,634 |
| 1,500 | - | - | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 571,545 | - | - | 571,545 | 571,545 |
| 45,617 | - | 26,912 | 18,705 | 18,705 |
| 199,232 | - | - | 199,232 | 199,232 |
| 103,415 | - | 103,415 | - | - |
| 9,389 | | 9,389 | | |
| \$ 9,348,822 | \$ 117,131 | \$ 1,785,056 | \$ 7,680,897 | \$ 7,680,897 |

SCHEDULE OF WORKLOAD MEASURES FOR STATE GENERAL **APPORTIONMENT**

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

| CATEGORIES | Revised Data* | Audit Adjustments ¹ | Audited Data |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| A. Summer Intersession | | | |
| 1. Noncredit | 159 | - | 159 |
| 2. Credit | 1,408 | - | 1,408 |
| B. Summer Intersession | | | |
| 1. Noncredit | - | - | - |
| 2. Credit | - | - | - |
| C. Primary Terms | | | |
| 1. Census Procedure Courses | | | |
| (a) Weekly Census Contact Hours | 17,160 | - | 17,160 |
| (b) Daily Census Contact Hours | 1,555 | - | 1,555 |
| 2. Actual Hours of Attendance Procedure Courses | | | |
| (a) Noncredit | 1,265 | - | 1,265 |
| (b) Credit | 462 | (6) | 456 |
| 3. Independent Study/Work Experience | | | |
| (a) Weekly Census Contact Hours | 1,066 | - | 1,066 |
| (b) Daily Census Contact Hours | 155 | | 155 |
| D. Total FTES | 23,230 | (6) | 23,224 |
| E. Basic Skills courses and Immigrant Education (FTES) | | | |
| 1. Noncredit | 499 | - | 499 |
| 2. Credit | 880 | - | 880 |
| | 1,379 | | 1,379 |
| | | | |

^{*}Revised August 3, 2011

As reported in the State Awards Findings and Questioned Costs 2011-09.

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL AND BUDGET REPORT (CCFS-311) WITH FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Summarized below are the fund balance reconciliations between the Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) and the fund financial statements.

| | Int | ernal Service |
|--|-----|---------------|
| | | Fund |
| June 30, 2011, Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) | ' | |
| Reported Fund Balance | \$ | 19,204,008 |
| Adjustments to Decrease Fund Balance | | |
| Claims liability | | (2,030,136) |
| Audited Fund Balance | \$ | 17,173,872 |

RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2011

| Amounts Reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Net Assets are Different Because: | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|
| Total Fund Balance and Due to Student Groups: | | |
| General Fund | \$ 22,506,564 | |
| Special Revenue Funds | 155,827 | |
| Capital Project Funds | 92,761,746 | |
| Debt Service Funds | 13,175,104 | |
| Enterprise Funds | 2,748,284 | |
| Internal Service Funds | 17,173,872 | |
| Fiduciary Funds | 3,268,018 | |
| Total Fund Balance and Due to Student Groups | | |
| - All District Funds | | \$ 151,789,415 |
| Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in governmental funds. | | |
| The cost of capital assets is | 266,782,383 | |
| Accumulated depreciation is | (85,022,949) | |
| Less fixed assets already recorded in the enterprise fund | (29,837) | 181,729,597 |
| Amounts held in trust on behalf of others (Trust and Agency Funds). In governmental funds, unmatured interest on long-term obligations is recognized in the period when it is due. On the government-wide financial statements, unmatured interest on long-term obligations is recognized when it is incurred. | | (2,575,103) |
| Long-term obligations, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in | | (2,236,272) |
| the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the funds. | | |
| Long-term obligations at year-end consist of: | | |
| Bonds payable | 131,040,799 | |
| Certificates of participation | 1,800,000 | |
| Compensated absences (vacations) | 1,708,298 | |
| Load banking | 375,054 | |
| Capital leases payable | 216,845 | |
| Other postemployment benefits (net) | 2,202,301 | |
| PARS supplementary retirement plan | 6,309,315 | |
| Total Long-Term Obligations | | (143,652,612) |
| Total Net Assets | | \$ 185,033,025 |

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

District Organization

This schedule provides information about the District's governing board members and administration members.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA)

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the Federal grant activity of the District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. The following schedule reconciles revenues in the statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net assets with the expenditures reported on the SEFA.

| | CFDA | Program |
|--|--------|---------------|
| | Number | Expenditures |
| Total Federal Revenues From the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and | | |
| Changes in Net Assets: | | \$ 38,604,171 |
| Build America Bonds subsidies | * | (292,012) |
| Nursing Loan Program | 93.364 | 28,938 |
| Total Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards | | \$ 38,341,097 |

* Build America Bonds and Single Audit

The District issued General Obligation Bonds, 2002 Series E that are Build America Bonds. The repayment of these bonds is subsidized by the U.S. Treasury. The Bonds are not subject to the Office of Management and Budget A-133 requirements and are, therefore, not included in the schedule of expenditures of Federal awards.

Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards includes the State grant activity of the District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented to comply with reporting requirements of the California State Chancellor's Office.

Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment

Full-Time Equivalent Students (FTES) is a measurement of the number of pupils attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis on which apportionments of State funds, including restricted categorical funding, are made to community college districts. This schedule provides information regarding the annual attendance measurements of students throughout the District.

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2011

Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Awards includes the State grant activity of the District and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented to comply with reporting requirements of the California State Chancellor's Office.

Schedule of Workload Measures for State General Apportionment

Full-Time Equivalent Students (FTES) is a measurement of the number of pupils attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis on which apportionments of State funds, including restricted categorical funding, are made to community college districts. This schedule provides information regarding the annual attendance measurements of students throughout the District.

Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report (CCFS-311) With Fund Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of all funds reported on the Form CCFS-311 to the District's internal fund financial statements.

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets

This schedule provides a reconciliation of the adjustments necessary to bring the District's internal fund financial statements, prepared on a modified accrual basis, to the entity-wide full accrual basis financial statements required under GASB Statements No. 34 and No. 35 business-type activities reporting model.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN

ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Pasadena Area Community College District Pasadena, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of Pasadena Area Community College District (the District) and it's discretely presented component unit for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2011. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Pasadena Area Community College District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audits, we considered Pasadena Area Community College District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Pasadena Area Community College District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Pasadena Area Community College District's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs that we consider to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting as items 2011-1 and 2011-2. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Pasadena Area Community College District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. We did not audit the District's responses and, accordingly, express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, Audit Committee, District Management, the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, and the District's Federal and State awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vourinck, Irine, Doey & Co. L.P.
Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 6, 2011



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH
REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT
ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER

COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Trustees Pasadena Area Community College District Pasadena, California

Compliance

We have audited Pasadena Area Community College District's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Pasadena Area Community College District's major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. Pasadena Area Community College District's major Federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of Pasadena Area Community College District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Pasadena Area Community College District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Pasadena Area Community College District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Pasadena Area Community College District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Pasadena Area Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above could have a direct and material effect on each of its major Federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2011-3 through 2011-5.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Pasadena Area Community College District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Pasadena Area Community College District's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Pasadena Area Community College District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2011-3 through 2011-5 to be significant deficiencies.

Pasadena Area Community College District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit Pasadena Area Community College District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Trustees, Audit Committee, District Management, the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, and the District's Federal and State awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vaurenck, Trine, Day! Co.LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 6, 2011



REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

Board of Trustees Pasadena Area Community College District Pasadena, California

We have audited the basic financial statements of Pasadena Area Community College District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2011.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of America and, accordingly, included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants listed below is the responsibility of Pasadena Area Community College District's management. In connection with the audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the Pasadena Area Community College District's compliance with the State laws and regulations applicable to the following items:

| Section 421 | Salaries of Classroom Instructors: 50 Percent Law |
|-------------|--|
| Section 423 | Apportionment for Instructional Service Agreements/Contracts |
| Section 424 | State General Apportionment Required Data Elements |
| Section 425 | Residency Determination for Credit Courses |
| Section 426 | Students Actively Enrolled |
| Section 427 | Concurrent Enrollment of K-12 Students in Community College Credit Courses |
| Section 431 | Gann Limit Calculation |
| Section 432 | Enrollment Fee |
| Section 433 | CalWORKS – Use of State and Federal TANF Funding |
| Section 435 | Open Enrollment |
| Section 437 | Student Fee – Instructional Materials and Health Fees |
| Section 473 | Economic and Workforce Development (EWD) |
| Section 474 | Extended Opportunity Programs and Services (EOPS) |
| Section 475 | Disabled Student Programs and Services (DSPS) |
| Section 477 | Cooperative Agencies Resources for Education (CARE) |
| Section 478 | Preference for Veterans and Qualified Spouses for Federally Funded Qualified Training Programs |
| Section 479 | To Be Arranged Hours (TBA) |

The District reports no Instructional Service Agreements/Contracts for Apportionment Funding; therefore the compliance tests within this section were not applicable.

Based on our audit, we found that for the items tested, the Pasadena Area Community College District complied with the State laws and regulations referred to above, except as described in the Schedule of State Award Findings and Questioned Costs section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2011-6 and 2011-7. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Pasadena Area Community College District's compliance with the State laws and regulations referred to above.

Pasadena Area Community College District's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit Pasadena Area Community College District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended solely for the information of the Board of Trustees, Audit Committee, District Management, the California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office, the California Department of Finance, and the California Department of Education, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vaurinek, Shine, Day & Co.LLP Rancho Cucamonga, California

December 6, 2011

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | | |
|---|--|-------------|
| Type of auditors' report issued: | | Unqualified |
| Internal control over financial reporting | : | <u> </u> |
| Material weaknesses identified? | | No |
| Significant deficiencies identified? | | Yes |
| Noncompliance material to financial sta | itements noted? | No |
| FEDERAL AWARDS | | |
| Internal control over major programs: | | |
| Material weaknesses identified? | | No |
| Significant deficiencies identified? | | Yes |
| Type of auditors' report issued on comp | liance for major programs: | Unqualified |
| | quired to be reported in accordance with | |
| Circular A-133, Section .510(a) | | Yes |
| Identification of major programs: | | |
| CFDA Numbers | Name of Federal Program or Cluster | |
| | Name of Federal Flogram of Cluster | |
| 84.007, 84.033, 84.063, | | |
| 84.268, 84.375, and 93.364 | Charles Charles Charles Charles | |
| and 93.304 | Student Financial Assistance Cluster | _ |
| 17 250 (ADDA) | ARRA - Economic Stimulus Program Funds for | |
| 17.258 (ARRA) | Phase II, Allied Health Program Expansion | _ |
| 84.042A, 84.047A, and 84.047M | TRIO Cluster | _ |
| 84.048 | CTEA Title I-C | _ |
| | XL for Life: Transforming Developmental | _ |
| | Education at PCC to Improve Hispanic Student | |
| 84.031S | Success | _ |
| Dollar threshold used to distinguish bet | waan Tuna A and Tuna B programs: | \$ 300,000 |
| Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? | ween Type A and Type B programs. | Yes |
| _ | | |
| STATE AWARDS | | |
| Internal control over State programs: | | |
| Material weaknesses identified? | | No |
| Significant deficiencies identified? | | Yes |

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

The following findings represent significant deficiencies, and/or instances of noncompliance related to the financial statements that are required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

2011-1 Financial Condition

Criteria or Specific Requirement

The District is required to maintain an operating budget in compliance with the criteria and standards developed by the State Chancellor's Office. The criteria and standards place an emphasis on meeting financial obligations in both the current year and subsequent years.

Condition

Significant Deficiency - The Internal Service Fund which provides for the Workers' Compensation self-insurance program ended the year in a negative position by approximately \$1.8 million. The primary component of the deficit is the recognition of ultimate cost of claims and expenses associated with all reported and unreported claims which has been actuarially determined to be in excess of \$3.6 million for the Workers' Compensation program. Absent any additional funding, this liability could become an obligation of the General Fund.

Effect

The obligation to provide for an adequate reserve for claims liabilities will have a negative impact to the unrestricted General Fund and may result in reserve levels being reduced below the requirements of the State Chancellor's Office.

Recommendation

Setting the internal funding level as a per payroll dollar rate that will provide adequate funding for these long-term obligations and will help to ensure adequate funds are available for the stated purpose. While the internal per payroll rate currently in place is providing funding for current claims, it appears as though this rate is not sufficient to cover the long-term obligations. We recommend this rate structure be reviewed and updated to provide adequate funding.

District Response

The District has increased the rate structure and has increased the rate from 1 percent to 1.15 percent. Additionally, the District will investigate both an insurance stop-loss option and budget options to decrease the unfunded liabilities.

2011-2 System Access

Criteria or Specific Requirement

Industry standards and proper internal controls require review of access control to the various computer systems of the District to ensure that assigned access levels are appropriate and that terminated employees are removed from the system.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Condition

During our review of the Human Resources (HR) and Payroll access levels, it was noted that one employee outside of the HR department has access to the HR/Payroll system with the ability to add employees, input time, and make payroll adjustments. It was also noted that multiple employees in the Fiscal Services department have the ability to change HR information and multiple employees in the Payroll department have the ability to change Position Control information. Additionally, it was noted that one employee in the Fiscal Services department has the ability to change the number of hours worked for employees. One payroll employee who retired over a year ago was still on the HRS system as an authorized user.

Effect

Without adequate controls over system access the District is at risk of not segregating incompatible functions. This will increase the risk of inappropriate payments to employees. Additionally, if the District is not removing access for terminated employees, there is a risk of unauthorized changes to payroll information.

Recommendation

The District should implement procedures to review computer system access on a regular basis and ensure access granted to individual employees is appropriate based on the employee's job duties. Additionally, this review should include procedures to remove terminated employees.

District Response

The District has reviewed its processes to increase system security, particularly for terminated employees. Procedures will be tightened to ensure denial of access for terminated employees.

FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

The following findings represent significant deficiencies, and/or instances of noncompliance including questioned costs that are required to be reported by OMB Circular A-133.

2011-3 PROCUREMENT, SUSPENSION, AND DEBARMENT

Federal Program Affected

U.S. Department of Education Career and Technical Education (CFDA #84.048); U.S. Department of Labor ARRA – Economic Stimulus Program Funds for Phase II, Allied Health Program Expansion (CFDA #17.258).

Compliance Requirement

Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment

Criteria or Specific Requirement

Title 34 - Education, Part 80 - Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments - Subpart C - Pre-Award Requirements, Section 80.35. OMB Circular A-110, Grants and Agreements with Institutions of Higher Education, Hospitals, and Other Non-Profit Organizations, Sub-Part C, Pre-Award Requirements, Section .33 Debarment and Suspension.

Condition

The District does not have policies and procedures in place necessary to ensure that the District is not violating Federal suspension and debarment regulations.

Questioned Costs

None.

Context

Of the 11 transactions selected for testing, four expenditures were to vendors receiving in excess of \$25,000. Evidence that the EPLS system had been verified was not evident.

Effect

The District is at risk of contracting with a vendor that is suspended or debarred.

Cause

The District has not updated its Policies and Procedures to match the Federal regulations.

FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Recommendation

The District must verify that entities contracted with for services are not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded from providing services. This verification may be accomplished by checking the *Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)* maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA), collecting a certification from the entity, or adding a clause or condition to the covered transaction which states the entity is not suspended or debarred. The information contained in the EPLS is available in printed and electronic formats.

District Response

The District has implemented an additional step in the purchase order approval process to ensure that contracted entities are not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded from providing services.

2011-4 STUDENT FINANCIAL AID ELIGIBILITY

Federal Program Affected

U.S. Department of Education (DOE), Student Financial Aid Cluster: Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant (FSEOG) (CFDA #84.007), Federal Pell Grant Program (CFDA #84.063), Federal Direct Student Loans (CFDA #84.268), Federal Work-Study Program (CFDA #84.033), and Academic Competitiveness Grant (CFDA #84.375)

Compliance Requirement

Eligibility.

Criteria or Specific Requirement

34 CFR Section 668.32(a): A student is eligible to receive Title IV assistance if the student is a regular student enrolled, or accepted for enrollment, in an eligible program.

Condition

Significant Deficiency. It was noted that the District Student Financial Aid Office does not have procedures in place to determine if recipients of financial aid are enrolled in an eligible program.

Questioned Costs

None.

Context

For each of the 40 student files tested, we noted documentation indicating the review of the enrolled program was not maintained.

FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Effect

The District Student Financial Aid Office is at risk of disbursing Federal financial aid funds to students enrolled in ineligible programs.

Cause

The District Student Financial Aid Office had not updated its procedures to provide steps for ensuring that the students disbursed financial aid funds are in an eligible program.

Recommendation

The District Student Financial Aid Office should develop and implement procedures to review the academic program of all financial aid recipients to ensure that funds are only paid to those students enrolled in an eligible program.

District Response

Effective Spring 2012, at the completion of thirty (30) units of approved coursework for which financial aid funds were received, the District will require all students to develop a *Financial Aid Educational Plan* (FAEP) through the college's Counseling Department. The FAEP will identify the student's declared educational goal/academic program and all students will be required to submit a copy of the FAEP to the Financial Aid Office prior to disbursement of any further financial aid funds.

2011-5 RETURN TO TITLE IV

Federal Program Affected

U.S. Department of Education (DOE), Student Financial Aid Cluster: Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant (FSEOG) (CFDA #84.007), Federal Pell Grant Program (CFDA #84.063), Federal Direct Student Loans (CFDA #84.268), Federal Family Educational Loans (CFDA #84.032), and Academic Competitiveness Grant (CFDA #84.375)

Compliance Requirement

Special Tests and Provisions - Return to Title IV

Criteria or Specific Requirement

34 CFR 668.22(j): The institution is required to "return the amount of Title IV funds for which it is responsible under paragraph (g) as soon as possible but no later than 45 days after the date of the institution's determination that the student withdrew. Additionally, an institution must determine the withdrawal date for a student who withdraws without providing notification to the institution no later than 30 days after the end of the payment period or period of enrollment, as appropriate.

FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Condition

During our testing of the requirements for Return to Title IV, it was noted that in some instances the College did not return the Federal funds within the 45 day requirement. Additionally, it was noted that the College did not determine the withdrawal date within the 30 day timeframe.

Questioned Costs

No questioned costs. The District did return the funds; however, they were not returned within the required timeframes.

Context

Of the students selected for testing that were required to return funds to the Department of Education, we noted eight students that did not meet the 45 day requirement. Additionally, we noted 2 instances where the withdrawal date was not determined within the required 30 day time frame.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with the Federal Return to Title IV requirements.

Cause

The District Student Financial Aid and Business Offices have not implemented a joint procedure to monitor the Return of Title IV funds to ensure that calculations and returns are performed within the required time frames.

Recommendation

The Student Financial Aid policies related to the Return of Title IV funds should be reviewed to ensure the timely return of the institutional share of Return to Title IV calculations within the 45 day required timeframe. Further, routine timelines for running reports to identify students who withdrew should be included in the procedures. Communication with the Business Office of amounts to be returned should be improved to ensure timely transmittal.

District Response

The District will coordinate the internal reporting to ensure timely identification of students subject to Return to Title IV and notification to the appropriate departments.

STATE AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

The following findings represent instances of noncompliance and/or questioned costs relating to State program laws and regulations.

2011-6 OPEN ENROLLMENT

Criteria or Specific Requirement

Education Code Section 76002(a): For the purposes of receiving State apportionments, a community college district may include high school pupils who attend a community college within the district pursuant to Sections 48800 and 76001 in the district's report of full-time equivalent students (FTES) only if those pupils are enrolled in community college classes that are open to the general public. If the class is offered at a high school campus, the class shall not be held during the time the campus is closed to the general public.

Condition

During our testing of open enrollment requirements it was noted that one course tested was held at a high school during hours that the school was closed to the general public. Additionally, through inquiry it was noted that this course was designed for students of that high school and was not open to all admitted students of the college.

Questioned Costs

The District claimed 5.6 FTES (actual hours/credit) for the course in question.

Effect

The District is claiming FTES for an ineligible course.

Recommendation

The District should implement procedures to ensure that all courses claimed for apportionment are open to all admitted students of the college. The Annual 320 Report of Attendance should be amended to properly report the FTES.

District Response

The District will notify the Chancellor's Office of the error and request the District's apportionment be reduced by the FTES claimed for the ineligible course. The District has developed procedures which will be monitored through the College's Enrollment Management Committee to govern the placement of classes in the schedules for all future academic periods to ensure all classes are open to all eligible students for enrollment.

STATE AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

2011-7 TO BE ARRANGED HOURS

Criteria or Specific Requirement

California Community Colleges Chancellor's Office Legal Advisory 08-02:

Specific instructional activities, including those conducted during TBA hours, expected of all students enrolled in the course are included in the official course outline.

A clear description of the course, including the number of TBA hours required, must be published in the official general catalog or addenda thereto and in the official schedule of classes or addenda thereto.

Condition

During our testing of courses with TBA hours, we noted multiple instances where a description of the TBA hours was not included in the course catalog and/or the official course outline of record. Of the 24 courses tested, none of them separately identified the TBA hours in the course catalog; 23 of these courses did not separately identify the TBA hours in the course outline.

Questioned Costs

None.

Effect

The District is not in compliance with the TBA hours requirements and is at risk of losing funding for these courses.

Recommendation

The District should revise the official course outlines for courses with TBA hours to include a description of the specific instructional activities conducted during TBA hours. Additionally, the District should revise the description of these courses in the official general catalog or addenda to including the number of TBA hours required.

District Response

As appropriate and required, the District will revise the Course Outlines of Record (COR) for all TBA courses such that the TBA instructional activities required in these courses are clearly defined for students. Upon the completion of the revision of the COR's, this information will be included in the College's print and Online 2012-2013 general catalog.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Except as specified in previous sections of this report, summarized below is the current status of all audit findings reported in the prior year's schedule of audit findings and questioned costs.

Financial Statement Findings

2010-1 CAPITAL ASSETS

Criteria

Industry standards and best business practices requires a system of internal controls that will provide reliable, accurate, and timely financial information that has been reviewed and analyzed by management.

Condition

Significant Deficiency - Capital asset reporting problems included the following issues for the 2010 year-end reporting:

- There is not adequate supervisory review of asset reports to prevent and detect errors prior to the information being provided to us for the audit.
- When construction in progress is completed, the original asset and asset number is retired
 and a new asset is created in the building or site improvements asset class. This impairs the
 audit trail and the support for the construction in progress. The asset number should only
 transfer between the asset classes.
- The Escape system does not produce a capital asset summary so the information must be compiled manually and is prone to error.
- There are not policies and procedures for performing physical inventories.

Questioned Costs

None.

Recommendation

Management should establish oversight roles and monthly reconciliation procedures. On a periodic basis, perhaps monthly, the reports should be prepared and reviewed for accuracy and that should significantly improve the annual summary. The summary should be prepared on a monthly basis until it can be performed with greater accuracy. Reports of additions together with supporting reconciliation to the general ledger should be reviewed. System upgrades may provide better reports to support the summary or even create the summary.

Current Status

Implemented.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Federal Awards Findings

2010-2 WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT - REPORTING

Program CFDA No.17.258

CFDA Program Title

Workforce Economic Stimulus Program Funds for Phase II, Allied Health Program Expansion.

Criteria or Specific Requirement

The grant agreement provided by the State Chancellor's Office requires the District to submit Monthly Expenditure Reports, as well as Quarterly Performance Reports.

Condition

Significant Deficiency - For the above referenced Federal program, the District did not submit the Monthly Expenditure Reports or the Quarterly Performance Reports to the Chancellor's Office in accordance with the reporting requirements.

Questioned Costs

None.

Effect

Required Expenditure and Activity Reports were not submitted in accordance with the grant agreements.

Cause

Grant agreements were not clearly understood as to the required reporting requirements.

Recommendation

Grant agreements should be reviewed and the requirements for reporting clearly understood. The program manager should develop a reporting calendar to monitor the submission of the required reports.

Current Status

Implemented.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

State Awards Findings

2010-3 STUDENTS ACTIVELY ENROLLED

Criteria

California Code of Regulations, Title 5 requires that only the attendance of students actively enrolled in a course section as of the census date may be claimed for apportionment purposes.

Condition

Control Deficiency - We selected a sample of 40 course sections for the Spring 2010 term that were listed on the Pre-Census Acknowledgment Report. We found that:

- One student was marked as dropped on the manual teacher roster and was not removed from the attendance system.
- Several manual teacher rosters/scantrons had no indication of when they were turned into admissions and records.
- Several teachers did not submit their manual teacher rosters until 20 days or more after the census date.

The District implemented an on-line reporting mechanism for instructors to submit their rosters and report the actively enrolled students. This reporting system was not utilized by all faculty which appears to be the primary reason for the exceptions noted.

Questioned Costs

None. The District is currently reporting FTES in excess of funding.

Recommendation

The on-line reporting for students actively enrolled should be utilized by all instructors to properly support the recorded FTES.

Current Status

Implemented.